Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 1

Q.1 Thinking about people who voted Conservative at the general election in May 2010, do you think most of them did so mainly because they had positive views about David Cameron and the Conservative Party, or mainly because they had negative views about Gordon Brown and Labour? Base: All respondents

		Gei	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Positive about Conservatives	172 43%	94 45%	78 41%	33 50%	33 38%	105 43%	52 44%	37 47%	44 37%	27 47%	12 43%	57 44%	89 44%	26 39%	45 52%	102 40%	25 40%	123 41%	49 49%
Negative about Labour	229 57%	117 55%	112 59%	33 50%	55 63%	141 57%	65 56%	42 53%	75 63%	31 53%	16 57%	74 56%	114 56%	41 61%	41 48%	151 60%	37 60%	177 59%	52 51%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 2

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? Summary Table

Base: All respondents

							Reasons					
		People thought David Cameron would make a good Prime Minister	It was time for a change from Labour and the Conser- vatives were the most obvious alternative	The Conservatives seemed willing to cut excessive spending and reduce the deficit	The Conservatives seemed more likely to tackle crime effectively	The Conservatives seemed more willing to control immigration	The Conservatives seemed more likely to get the economy back on track	The Conservatives seemed more willing to reform welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency	People preferred the Conser- vative policy on Britain's role in the EU	The Conservatives seemed more likely to cut taxes for ordinary people	The Conservatives seemed more likely to improve the NHS	The Conservatives seemed more likely to raise standards in schools
Base		401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Extremely important	(10)	86 21%	212 53%	157 39%	58 14%	81 20%	141 35%	139 35%	53 13%	36 9%	31 8%	54 13%
	(9)	58 14%	86 21%	85 21%	50 12%	67 17%	96 24%	72 18%	41 10%	32 8%	42 10%	60 15%
	(8)	103 26%	62 15%	93 23%	129 32%	103 26%	99 25%	113 28%	89 22%	61 15%	89 22%	106 26%
	(7)	66 16%	16 4%	37 9%	65 16%	65 16%	34 8%	34 8%	59 15%	72 18%	64 16%	76 19%
	(6)	36 9%	9 2%	8 2%	46 11%	28 7%	11 3%	22 5%	42 10%	70 17%	60 15%	46 11%
	(5)	33 8%	6 1%	12 3%	26 6%	28 7%	10 2%	11 3%	66 16%	71 18%	67 17%	32 8%
	(4)	5 1%	-	-	11 3%	4 1%	1	3 1%	16 4%	18 4%	22 5%	9 2%
	(3)	5 1%	5 1%	1	9 2%	12 3%	2	1	8 2%	19 5%	10 2%	6 1%
	(2)	6 1%	1	5 1%	3 1%	4 1%	1	1	8 2%	11 3%	10 2%	3 1%
Not important at all	(1)	2	2	3 1%	3 1%	9 2%	5 1%	4 1%	8 2%	8 2%	2	3 1%
NET: 8-10		247 62%	360 90%	335 84%	237 59%	251 63%	336 84%	324 81%	183 46%	129 32%	162 40%	220 55%

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 2

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? Summary Table

Base: All respondents

	People thought David Cameron would make a good Prime	It was time for a change from Labour and the Conser- vatives were the most obvious	The Conservatives seemed willing to cut excessive spending and reduce	The Conservatives seemed more likely to tackle crime	The Conservatives seemed more willing to control	The Conservatives seemed more likely to get the economy back on	The Conservatives seemed more willing to reform welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit	People preferred the Conser- vative policy on Britain's role in the	The Conservatives seemed more likely to cut taxes for ordinary	The Conservatives seemed more likely to improve the	The Conservatives seemed more likely to raise standards
	Minister	alternative	the deficit	effectively	immigration	track	dependency	EU	people	NHS	in schools
Base	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
NET: 4-7	140 35%	31 8%	57 14%	148 37%	125 31%	56 14%	70 17%	183 46%	231 58%	213 53%	163 41%
NET: 1-3	13 3%	8 2%	9 2%	15 4%	25 6%	8 2%	6 1%	24 6%	38 9%	22 5%	12 3%
Don't know	1	2	- -	1	-	1	1	11 3%	3 1%	4 1%	6 1%
Mean	7.74	9.04	8.63	7.51	7.65	8.59	8.47	6.97	6.47	6.76	7.49
Standard error	0.09	0.07	0.08	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.09

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? People thought David Cameron would make a good Prime Minister Base: All respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				tner Coal t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot-	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	86 21%	45 21%	41 22%	12 18%	23 26%	51 21%	25 21%	27 34%	23 19%	5 9%	6 21%	26 20%	49 24%	11 16%	26 30%	53 21%	7 11%	56 19%	30 30%
	(9)	58 14%	27 13%	31 16%	5 8%	14 16%	39 16%	15 13%	9 11%	15 13%	15 26%	4 14%	29 22%	25 12%	4 6%	11 13%	43 17%	4 6%	38 13%	20 20%
	(8)	103 26%	61 29%	42 22%	24 36%	19 22%	60 24%	29 25%	17 22%	31 26%	18 31%	8 29%	38 29%	49 24%	16 24%	28 33%	64 25%	11 18%	82 27%	21 21%
	(7)	66 16%	31 15%	35 18%	11 17%	13 15%	41 17%	18 15%	9 11%	21 18%	12 21%	6 21%	20 15%	30 15%	16 24%	10 12%	40 16%	16 26%	51 17%	15 15%
	(6)	36 9%	20 9%	16 8%	10 15%	7 8%	19 8%	11 9%	6 8%	15 13%	3 5%	1 4%	11 8%	20 10%	5 7%	4 5%	24 9%	8 13%	30 10%	6 6%
	(5)	33 8%	18 9%	15 8%	2 3%	9 10%	22 9%	13 11%	5 6%	10 8%	3 5%	2 7%	4 3%	21 10%	8 12%	6 7%	20 8%	7 11%	29 10%	4 4%
	(4)	5 1%	3 1%	2 1%	-	-	5 2%	2 2%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	3 4%	-	1	4 6%	3 1%	2 2%
	(3)	5 1%	3 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	4 2%	1 1%	3 4%	-	1 2%	-	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	-	3 1%	2 3%	5 2%	-
	(2)	6 1%	1	5 3%	1 2%	2 2%	3 1%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 4%	-	5 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	1 2%	4 1%	2 2%
Not important at all	(1)	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1	1 1%	-	1	1 2%	2 1%	-
NET: 8-10		247 62%	133 63%	114 60%	41 62%	56 64%	150 61%	69 59%	53 67%	69 58%	38 66%	18 64%	93 71%	123 61%	31 46%	65 76%	160 63%	22 35%	176 59%	71 70%
NET: 4-7		140 35%	72 34%	68 36%	23 35%	29 33%	87 35%	44 38%	20 25%	48 40%	19 33%	9 32%	36 27%	72 35%	32 48%	20 23%	85 34%	35 56%	113 38%	27 27%
NET: 1-3		13 3%	5 2%	8 4%	2 3%	3 3%	8 3%	4 3%	5 6%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	2 2%	8 4%	3 4%	1 1%	8 3%	4 6%	11 4%	2 2%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Mhathar Caalitian

View of Conservative

Table 3

Absolutes/col percents

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? People thought David Cameron would make a good Prime Minister Base: All respondents

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				etner Coai /t Performa		view	Party	vative	Metho	odology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	- -	1 2%	-	1 1%
Mean	7.74	7.77	7.72	7.68	7.88	7.72	7.62	7.99	7.66	7.81	7.86	8.10	7.70	7.18	8.24	7.81	6.79	7.59	8.21
Standard error	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.22	0.21	0.12	0.18	0.24	0.16	0.19	0.35	0.13	0.14	0.25	0.18	0.12	0.26	0.11	0.18

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? It was time for a change from Labour and the Conservatives were the most obvious alternative Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	212 53%	111 53%	101 53%	28 42%	50 57%	134 54%	61 52%	48 61%	59 50%	32 55%	12 43%	71 54%	104 51%	37 55%	50 58%	133 53%	29 47%	155 52%	57 56%
	(9)	86 21%	44 21%	42 22%	17 26%	20 23%	48 20%	27 23%	12 15%	26 22%	13 22%	8 29%	30 23%	40 20%	16 24%	17 20%	53 21%	16 26%	60 20%	26 26%
	(8)	62 15%	33 16%	29 15%	16 24%	9 10%	37 15%	18 15%	12 15%	19 16%	10 17%	3 11%	20 15%	34 17%	8 12%	11 13%	43 17%	8 13%	55 18%	7 7%
	(7)	16 4%	10 5%	6 3%	1 2%	4 5%	11 4%	4 3%	4 5%	6 5%	1 2%	1 4%	4 3%	10 5%	2 3%	1 1%	12 5%	3 5%	14 5%	2 2%
	(6)	9 2%	5 2%	4 2%	1 2%	1 1%	7 3%	3 3%	-	4 3%	-	2 7%	1 1%	7 3%	1 1%	2 2%	6 2%	1 2%	6 2%	3 3%
	(5)	6 1%	4 2%	2 1%	-	1 1%	5 2%	2 2%	-	2 2%	-	2 7%	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	3 1%	1 2%	5 2%	1 1%
	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- -
	(3)	5 1%	2 1%	3 2%	2 3%	2 2%	1	1 1%	3 4%	1 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1	3 5%	3 1%	2 2%
	(2)	1	1	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	- -	1 1%	-	-	1	- -
Not important at all	(1)	2	-	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	-	-	1	1 2%	-	2 2%
NET: 8-10		360 90%	188 89%	172 91%	61 92%	79 90%	219 89%	106 91%	72 91%	104 87%	55 95%	23 82%	121 92%	178 88%	61 91%	78 91%	229 91%	53 85%	270 90%	90 89%
NET: 4-7		31 8%	19 9%	12 6%	2 3%	6 7%	23 9%	9 8%	4 5%	12 10%	1 2%	5 18%	8 6%	19 9%	4 6%	5 6%	21 8%	5 8%	25 8%	6 6%
NET: 1-3		8 2%	3 1%	5 3%	2 3%	3 3%	3 1%	2 2%	3 4%	2 2%	1 2%	- -	1 1%	5 2%	2 3%	2 2%	2 1%	4 6%	4 1%	4 4%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? It was time for a change from Labour and the Conservatives were the most obvious alternative Base: All respondents

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot-	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	2	1	1 1 1%	1 2%	- -	1	- -	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	-	1 1%	1	-	1	1 1%
Mean	9.04	9.04	9.03	8.92	9.08	9.05	9.03	9.13	8.96	9.21	8.75	9.15	8.94	9.09	9.12	9.09	8.71	9.03	9.05
Standard error	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.18	0.17	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.30	0.12	0.11	0.18	0.17	0.08	0.25	0.08	0.18

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed willing to cut excessive spending and reduce the deficit Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	157 39%	87 41%	70 37%	18 27%	37 42%	102 41%	41 35%	39 49%	46 39%	22 38%	9 32%	55 42%	76 37%	26 39%	45 52%	92 36%	20 32%	113 38%	44 44%
	(9)	85 21%	41 19%	44 23%	19 29%	18 20%	48 20%	27 23%	13 16%	25 21%	16 28%	4 14%	37 28%	34 17%	14 21%	15 17%	61 24%	9 15%	60 20%	25 25%
	(8)	93 23%	48 23%	45 24%	15 23%	18 20%	59 24%	24 21%	15 19%	33 28%	11 19%	10 36%	27 21%	51 25%	15 22%	19 22%	61 24%	13 21%	76 25%	17 17%
	(7)	37 9%	22 10%	15 8%	8 12%	11 13%	18 7%	13 11%	7 9%	7 6%	7 12%	3 11%	8 6%	25 12%	4 6%	4 5%	25 10%	8 13%	27 9%	10 10%
	(6)	8 2%	6 3%	2 1%	3 5%	-	5 2%	5 4%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	6 3%	1 1%	1 1%	5 2%	2 3%	8 3%	-
	(5)	12 3%	6 3%	6 3%	1 2%	3 3%	8 3%	3 3%	2 3%	6 5%	-	1 4%	2 2%	7 3%	3 4%	1 1%	5 2%	6 10%	11 4%	1 1%
	(4)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(3)	1	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1	-
	(2)	5 1%	1	4 2%	2 3%	-	3 1%	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	1 4%	-	3 1%	2 3%	1 1%	3 1%	1 2%	3 1%	2 2%
Not important at all	(1)	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	1 1%	-	1	2 3%	1	2 2%
NET: 8-10		335 84%	176 83%	159 84%	52 79%	73 83%	209 85%	92 79%	67 85%	104 87%	49 84%	23 82%	119 91%	161 79%	55 82%	79 92%	214 85%	42 68%	249 83%	86 85%
NET: 4-7		57 14%	34 16%	23 12%	12 18%	14 16%	31 13%	21 18%	9 11%	15 13%	8 14%	4 14%	11 8%	38 19%	8 12%	6 7%	35 14%	16 26%	46 15%	11 11%
NET: 1-3		9 2%	1	8 4%	2 3%	1 1%	6 2%	4 3%	3 4%	-	1 2%	1 4%	1 1%	4 2%	4 6%	1 1%	4 2%	4 6%	5 2%	4 4%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed willing to cut excessive spending and reduce the deficit

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Mean	8.63	8.74	8.51	8.39	8.76	8.65	8.45	8.75	8.74	8.76	8.36	8.95	8.51	8.40	9.05	8.67	7.90	8.60	8.73
Standard error	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.21	0.15	0.11	0.17	0.21	0.12	0.20	0.33	0.11	0.12	0.26	0.15	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.18

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 6

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to tackle crime effectively

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der	Age					Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	58 14%	27 13%	31 16%	8 12%	13 15%	37 15%	15 13%	18 23%	12 10%	8 14%	5 18%	15 11%	33 16%	10 15%	18 21%	34 13%	6 10%	38 13%	20 20%
	(9)	50 12%	19 9%	31 16%	7 11%	13 15%	30 12%	12 10%	10 13%	19 16%	6 10%	3 11%	26 20%	19 9%	5 7%	14 16%	30 12%	6 10%	33 11%	17 17%
	(8)	129 32%	64 30%	65 34%	20 30%	22 25%	87 35%	43 37%	18 23%	37 31%	21 36%	10 36%	45 34%	73 36%	11 16%	28 33%	85 34%	16 26%	104 35%	25 25%
	(7)	65 16%	36 17%	29 15%	8 12%	17 19%	40 16%	16 14%	14 18%	19 16%	10 17%	6 21%	19 15%	34 17%	12 18%	10 12%	46 18%	9 15%	51 17%	14 14%
	(6)	46 11%	31 15%	15 8%	12 18%	8 9%	26 11%	12 10%	7 9%	18 15%	6 10%	3 11%	17 13%	20 10%	9 13%	10 12%	28 11%	8 13%	35 12%	11 11%
	(5)	26 6%	19 9%	7 4%	4 6%	8 9%	13 5%	12 10%	4 5%	6 5%	3 5%	1 4%	5 4%	9 4%	12 18%	3 3%	15 6%	8 13%	22 7%	4 4%
	(4)	11 3%	8 4%	3 2%	3 5%	4 5%	4 2%	2 2%	4 5%	3 3%	2 3%	-	1 1%	7 3%	3 4%	- -	8 3%	3 5%	9 3%	2 2%
	(3)	9 2%	7 3%	2 1%	2 3%	2 2%	5 2%	4 3%	-	4 3%	1 2%	-	2 2%	7 3%	- -	3 3%	6 2%	- -	3 1%	6 6%
	(2)	3 1%	- -	3 2%	1 2%	1 1%	1	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	-	<u>-</u>	1	2 3%	- -	1	2 3%	2 1%	1 1%
Not important at all	(1)	3 1%	- -	3 2%	1 2%	- -	2 1%	- -	2 3%	- -	1 2%	-	1 1%	- -	2 3%	- -	- -	3 5%	2 1%	1 1%
NET: 8-10		237 59%	110 52%	127 67%	35 53%	48 55%	154 63%	70 60%	46 58%	68 57%	35 60%	18 64%	86 66%	125 62%	26 39%	60 70%	149 59%	28 45%	175 58%	62 61%
NET: 4-7		148 37%	94 45%	54 28%	27 41%	37 42%	83 34%	42 36%	29 37%	46 39%	21 36%	10 36%	42 32%	70 34%	36 54%	23 27%	97 38%	28 45%	117 39%	31 31%
NET: 1-3		15 4%	7 3%	8 4%	4 6%	3 3%	8 3%	5 4%	3 4%	5 4%	2 3%	-	3 2%	8 4%	4 6%	3 3%	7 3%	5 8%	7 2%	8 8%

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 6

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010

general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)?

The Conservatives seemed more likely to tackle crime effectively

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1	-
Mean	7.51	7.29	7.75	7.15	7.43	7.64	7.42	7.62	7.43	7.50	7.93	7.75	7.58	6.80	7.95	7.54	6.75	7.48	7.58
Standard error	0.09	0.12	0.14	0.25	0.20	0.11	0.17	0.24	0.16	0.24	0.26	0.14	0.12	0.28	0.18	0.11	0.29	0.10	0.21

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more willing to control immigration

Base: All respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				tner Coall t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Male Female 18-54		55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	81 20%	43 20%	38 20%	15 23%	18 20%	48 20%	21 18%	21 27%	23 19%	9 16%	7 25%	25 19%	45 22%	11 16%	22 26%	44 17%	15 24%	48 16%	33 33%
	(9)	67 17%	29 14%	38 20%	5 8%	18 20%	44 18%	17 15%	15 19%	22 18%	11 19%	2 7%	26 20%	37 18%	4 6%	11 13%	51 20%	5 8%	49 16%	18 18%
	(8)	103 26%	54 26%	49 26%	20 30%	19 22%	64 26%	35 30%	18 23%	29 24%	14 24%	7 25%	40 31%	46 23%	17 25%	26 30%	61 24%	16 26%	85 28%	18 18%
	(7)	65 16%	39 18%	26 14%	11 17%	12 14%	42 17%	18 15%	4 5%	22 18%	13 22%	8 29%	19 15%	35 17%	11 16%	17 20%	42 17%	6 10%	48 16%	17 17%
	(6)	28 7%	14 7%	14 7%	6 9%	7 8%	15 6%	7 6%	9 11%	9 8%	3 5%	-	7 5%	15 7%	6 9%	3 3%	20 8%	5 8%	23 8%	5 5%
	(5)	28 7%	17 8%	11 6%	4 6%	4 5%	19 8%	10 9%	5 6%	6 5%	7 12%	-	6 5%	14 7%	8 12%	3 3%	20 8%	5 8%	26 9%	2 2%
	(4)	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 4%	2 2%	1	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	2 1%	2 2%
	(3)	12 3%	9 4%	3 2%	1 2%	7 8%	4 2%	6 5%	3 4%	2 2%	-	1 4%	4 3%	6 3%	2 3%	2 2%	8 3%	2 3%	9 3%	3 3%
	(2)	4 1%	1	3 2%	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	1 4%	-	3 1%	1 1%	-	3 1%	1 2%	3 1%	1 1%
Not important at all	(1)	9 2%	2 1%	7 4%	2 3%	1 1%	6 2%	3 3%	2 3%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	2 2%	1	6 9%	1 1%	2 1%	6 10%	7 2%	2 2%
NET: 8-10		251 63%	126 60%	125 66%	40 61%	55 63%	156 63%	73 62%	54 68%	74 62%	34 59%	16 57%	91 69%	128 63%	32 48%	59 69%	156 62%	36 58%	182 61%	69 68%
NET: 4-7		125 31%	73 35%	52 27%	22 33%	24 27%	78 32%	35 30%	19 24%	39 33%	23 40%	9 32%	34 26%	65 32%	26 39%	24 28%	84 33%	17 27%	99 33%	26 26%
NET: 1-3		25 6%	12 6%	13 7%	4 6%	9 10%	12 5%	9 8%	6 8%	6 5%	1 2%	3 11%	6 5%	10 5%	9 13%	3 3%	13 5%	9 15%	19 6%	6 6%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)?

The Conservatives seemed more willing to control immigration

		Ge	Gender Age						Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Mean	7.65	7.62	7.69	7.56	7.59	7.71	7.54	7.76	7.71	7.69	7.50	7.87	7.81	6.76	8.02	7.67	7.06	7.51	8.08
Standard error	0.10	0.14	0.16	0.26	0.24	0.13	0.19	0.26	0.18	0.23	0.46	0.16	0.14	0.32	0.19	0.12	0.36	0.12	0.21

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to get the economy back on track Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	141 35%	78 37%	63 33%	24 36%	34 39%	83 34%	34 29%	36 46%	47 39%	16 28%	8 29%	60 46%	64 32%	17 25%	44 51%	83 33%	14 23%	91 30%	50 50%
	(9)	96 24%	50 24%	46 24%	11 17%	21 24%	64 26%	32 27%	16 20%	30 25%	11 19%	7 25%	43 33%	41 20%	12 18%	20 23%	66 26%	10 16%	73 24%	23 23%
	(8)	99 25%	47 22%	52 27%	19 29%	15 17%	65 26%	29 25%	13 16%	28 24%	21 36%	8 29%	16 12%	64 32%	19 28%	16 19%	66 26%	17 27%	83 28%	16 16%
	(7)	34 8%	21 10%	13 7%	5 8%	12 14%	16 7%	10 9%	5 6%	10 8%	5 9%	4 14%	8 6%	19 9%	7 10%	3 3%	23 9%	8 13%	29 10%	5 5%
	(6)	11 3%	7 3%	4 2%	1 2%	3 3%	7 3%	5 4%	4 5%	-	2 3%	-	2 2%	5 2%	4 6%	-	9 4%	2 3%	9 3%	2 2%
	(5)	10 2%	6 3%	4 2%	4 6%	2 2%	4 2%	4 3%	1 1%	3 3%	1 2%	1 4%	1 1%	6 3%	3 4%	2 2%	3 1%	5 8%	10 3%	-
	(4)	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1	-
	(3)	2	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	1	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 1%	-
	(2)	1	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1 1%
Not important at all	(1)	5 1%	-	5 3%	1 2%	-	4 2%	2 2%	2 3%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	2 3%	-	2 1%	3 5%	2 1%	3 3%
NET: 8-10		336 84%	175 83%	161 85%	54 82%	70 80%	212 86%	95 81%	65 82%	105 88%	48 83%	23 82%	119 91%	169 83%	48 72%	80 93%	215 85%	41 66%	247 82%	89 88%
NET: 4-7		56 14%	34 16%	22 12%	10 15%	17 19%	28 11%	19 16%	10 13%	14 12%	8 14%	5 18%	11 8%	30 15%	15 22%	5 6%	35 14%	16 26%	49 16%	7 7%
NET: 1-3		8 2%	1	7 4%	2 3%	1 1%	5 2%	3 3%	3 4%	- -	2 3%	-	1 1%	4 2%	3 4%	1 1%	3 1%	4 6%	4 1%	4 4%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)?

The Conservatives seemed more likely to get the economy back on track

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal <u>rt Performa</u>		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	1	1	-	-	- -	1	-	1 1%	-	- -	-	-	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	1 2%	-	1 1%
Mean	8.59	8.70	8.47	8.41	8.68	8.62	8.42	8.67	8.85	8.33	8.57	9.08	8.48	7.98	9.09	8.64	7.69	8.50	8.87
Standard error	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.23	0.15	0.10	0.16	0.22	0.11	0.22	0.24	0.11	0.11	0.25	0.14	0.09	0.29	0.09	0.19

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more willing to reform welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	139 35%	72 34%	67 35%	21 32%	34 39%	84 34%	37 32%	32 41%	39 33%	21 36%	10 36%	48 37%	73 36%	18 27%	42 49%	77 30%	20 32%	93 31%	46 46%
	(9)	72 18%	39 18%	33 17%	12 18%	15 17%	45 18%	22 19%	17 22%	20 17%	9 16%	4 14%	27 21%	32 16%	13 19%	12 14%	49 19%	11 18%	54 18%	18 18%
	(8)	113 28%	54 26%	59 31%	15 23%	23 26%	75 30%	31 26%	16 20%	34 29%	20 34%	12 43%	33 25%	62 31%	18 27%	19 22%	77 30%	17 27%	95 32%	18 18%
	(7)	34 8%	20 9%	14 7%	9 14%	8 9%	17 7%	9 8%	7 9%	14 12%	3 5%	1 4%	9 7%	18 9%	7 10%	6 7%	22 9%	6 10%	28 9%	6 6%
	(6)	22 5%	14 7%	8 4%	2 3%	4 5%	15 6%	9 8%	5 6%	6 5%	1 2%	1 4%	9 7%	10 5%	3 4%	5 6%	17 7%	-	14 5%	8 8%
	(5)	11 3%	7 3%	4 2%	4 6%	2 2%	5 2%	6 5%	-	3 3%	2 3%	-	2 2%	3 1%	6 9%	1 1%	5 2%	5 8%	10 3%	1 1%
	(4)	3 1%	3 1%	-	2 3%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	1	1 2%	3 1%	-
	(3)	1	1	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-
	(2)	1	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	1 1%
Not important at all	(1)	4 1%	-	4 2%	-	-	4 2%	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	2 1%	2 3%	1	3 3%
NET: 8-10		324 81%	165 78%	159 84%	48 73%	72 82%	204 83%	90 77%	65 82%	93 78%	50 86%	26 93%	108 82%	167 82%	49 73%	73 85%	203 80%	48 77%	242 81%	82 81%
NET: 4-7		70 17%	44 21%	26 14%	17 26%	15 17%	37 15%	25 21%	12 15%	24 20%	7 12%	2 7%	22 17%	31 15%	17 25%	13 15%	45 18%	12 19%	55 18%	15 15%
NET: 1-3		6 1%	1	5 3%	1 2%	1 1%	4 2%	2 2%	2 3%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	4 2%	1 1%	-	4 2%	2 3%	2 1%	4 4%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)?

The Conservatives seemed more willing to reform welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-
Mean	8.47	8.46	8.48	8.23	8.59	8.50	8.28	8.65	8.46	8.50	8.75	8.57	8.52	8.10	8.85	8.41	8.19	8.43	8.57
Standard error	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.22	0.16	0.10	0.17	0.19	0.14	0.23	0.21	0.14	0.11	0.22	0.15	0.10	0.26	0.09	0.20

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 10

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? People preferred the Conservative policy on Britain's role in the EU Base: All respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				tner Coall t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	53 13%	28 13%	25 13%	11 17%	14 16%	28 11%	17 15%	13 16%	14 12%	6 10%	3 11%	16 12%	29 14%	8 12%	14 16%	29 11%	10 16%	29 10%	24 24%
	(9)	41 10%	21 10%	20 11%	5 8%	9 10%	27 11%	13 11%	10 13%	12 10%	5 9%	1 4%	13 10%	20 10%	8 12%	8 9%	28 11%	5 8%	31 10%	10 10%
	(8)	89 22%	45 21%	44 23%	13 20%	14 16%	62 25%	24 21%	13 16%	26 22%	18 31%	8 29%	33 25%	43 21%	13 19%	24 28%	56 22%	9 15%	65 22%	24 24%
	(7)	59 15%	28 13%	31 16%	13 20%	14 16%	32 13%	19 16%	10 13%	18 15%	8 14%	4 14%	23 18%	30 15%	6 9%	9 10%	42 17%	8 13%	44 15%	15 15%
	(6)	42 10%	23 11%	19 10%	5 8%	6 7%	31 13%	10 9%	9 11%	12 10%	5 9%	6 21%	12 9%	26 13%	4 6%	12 14%	23 9%	7 11%	35 12%	7 7%
	(5)	66 16%	36 17%	30 16%	10 15%	20 23%	35 14%	16 14%	15 19%	21 18%	12 21%	2 7%	22 17%	32 16%	12 18%	11 13%	46 18%	9 15%	57 19%	9 9%
	(4)	16 4%	12 6%	4 2%	5 8%	4 5%	7 3%	7 6%	2 3%	5 4%	-	2 7%	5 4%	7 3%	4 6%	4 5%	7 3%	5 8%	13 4%	3 3%
	(3)	8 2%	7 3%	1 1%	1 2%	6 7%	1	4 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	-	4 3%	2 1%	2 3%	1 1%	6 2%	1 2%	7 2%	1 1%
	(2)	8 2%	5 2%	3 2%	2 3%	1 1%	5 2%	2 2%	2 3%	2 2%	2 3%	-	2 2%	3 1%	3 4%	2 2%	3 1%	3 5%	4 1%	4 4%
Not important at all	(1)	8 2%	4 2%	4 2%	-	-	8 3%	3 3%	-	4 3%	-	1 4%	-	4 2%	4 6%	-	5 2%	3 5%	5 2%	3 3%
NET: 8-10		183 46%	94 45%	89 47%	29 44%	37 42%	117 48%	54 46%	36 46%	52 44%	29 50%	12 43%	62 47%	92 45%	29 43%	46 53%	113 45%	24 39%	125 42%	58 57%
NET: 4-7		183 46%	99 47%	84 44%	33 50%	44 50%	105 43%	52 44%	36 46%	56 47%	25 43%	14 50%	62 47%	95 47%	26 39%	36 42%	118 47%	29 47%	149 50%	34 34%
NET: 1-3		24 6%	16 8%	8 4%	3 5%	7 8%	14 6%	9 8%	3 4%	8 7%	3 5%	1 4%	6 5%	9 4%	9 13%	3 3%	14 6%	7 11%	16 5%	8 8%

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 10 Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010

general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)?

People preferred the Conservative policy on Britain's role in the EU

		Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
	10101		Tomalo				London		larido		lana				<u> </u>				
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	11 3%	2 1%	9 5%	1 2%	-	10 4%	2 2%	4 5%	3 3%	1 2%	1 4%	1 1%	7 3%	3 4%	1 1%	8 3%	2 3%	10 3%	1 1%
Mean	6.97	6.82	7.14	7.03	6.85	7.00	6.95	7.17	6.84	7.05	6.89	7.08	7.06	6.47	7.26	6.98	6.53	6.82	7.40
Standard error	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.26	0.23	0.14	0.21	0.24	0.21	0.26	0.39	0.17	0.15	0.33	0.22	0.13	0.33	0.12	0.24

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to cut taxes for ordinary people Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				tner Coall t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	 88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	36 9%	19 9%	17 9%	5 8%	10 11%	21 9%	9 8%	10 13%	8 7%	5 9%	4 14%	8 6%	20 10%	8 12%	13 15%	16 6%	7 11%	23 8%	13 13%
	(9)	32 8%	17 8%	15 8%	5 8%	6 7%	21 9%	9 8%	9 11%	8 7%	5 9%	1 4%	16 12%	10 5%	6 9%	11 13%	15 6%	6 10%	19 6%	13 13%
	(8)	61 15%	30 14%	31 16%	8 12%	8 9%	45 18%	20 17%	11 14%	15 13%	9 16%	6 21%	23 18%	33 16%	5 7%	9 10%	47 19%	5 8%	49 16%	12 12%
	(7)	72 18%	37 18%	35 18%	13 20%	15 17%	43 17%	26 22%	9 11%	23 19%	11 19%	3 11%	25 19%	40 20%	7 10%	13 15%	52 21%	7 11%	56 19%	16 16%
	(6)	70 17%	35 17%	35 18%	17 26%	15 17%	38 15%	19 16%	16 20%	18 15%	11 19%	6 21%	21 16%	39 19%	10 15%	14 16%	45 18%	11 18%	53 18%	17 17%
	(5)	71 18%	40 19%	31 16%	7 11%	18 20%	46 19%	19 16%	11 14%	27 23%	11 19%	3 11%	20 15%	34 17%	17 25%	14 16%	45 18%	12 19%	57 19%	14 14%
	(4)	18 4%	13 6%	5 3%	4 6%	6 7%	8 3%	5 4%	1 1%	8 7%	2 3%	2 7%	11 8%	5 2%	2 3%	6 7%	10 4%	2 3%	12 4%	6 6%
	(3)	19 5%	10 5%	9 5%	4 6%	4 5%	11 4%	5 4%	4 5%	8 7%	1 2%	1 4%	5 4%	12 6%	2 3%	5 6%	10 4%	4 6%	13 4%	6 6%
	(2)	11 3%	4 2%	7 4%	2 3%	4 5%	5 2%	3 3%	3 4%	3 3%	-	2 7%	2 2%	4 2%	5 7%	-	8 3%	3 5%	9 3%	2 2%
Not important at all	(1)	8 2%	6 3%	2 1%	1 2%	2 2%	5 2%	2 2%	4 5%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	4 2%	4 6%	1 1%	2 1%	5 8%	7 2%	1 1%
NET: 8-10		129 32%	66 31%	63 33%	18 27%	24 27%	87 35%	38 32%	30 38%	31 26%	19 33%	11 39%	47 36%	63 31%	19 28%	33 38%	78 31%	18 29%	91 30%	38 38%
NET: 4-7		231 58%	125 59%	106 56%	41 62%	54 61%	135 55%	69 59%	37 47%	76 64%	35 60%	14 50%	77 59%	118 58%	36 54%	47 55%	152 60%	32 52%	178 59%	53 52%
NET: 1-3		38 9%	20 9%	18 9%	7 11%	10 11%	21 9%	10 9%	11 14%	12 10%	2 3%	3 11%	7 5%	20 10%	11 16%	6 7%	20 8%	12 19%	29 10%	9 9%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to cut taxes for ordinary people

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali ⁄t Performa		View	of Conserv	/ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	3 1%	-	1 1%	-	2 3%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%
Mean	6.47	6.39	6.56	6.36	6.24	6.58	6.54	6.53	6.21	6.73	6.57	6.66	6.50	6.00	6.81	6.47	5.97	6.38	6.74
Standard error	0.11	0.15	0.15	0.25	0.24	0.13	0.19	0.28	0.19	0.25	0.43	0.17	0.15	0.32	0.24	0.12	0.33	0.12	0.22

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 12

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to improve the NHS

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				tner Coal t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	31 8%	16 8%	15 8%	3 5%	10 11%	18 7%	11 9%	10 13%	4 3%	2 3%	4 14%	8 6%	19 9%	4 6%	12 14%	17 7%	2 3%	20 7%	11 11%
	(9)	42 10%	15 7%	27 14%	5 8%	7 8%	30 12%	7 6%	13 16%	11 9%	5 9%	6 21%	19 15%	19 9%	4 6%	10 12%	28 11%	4 6%	27 9%	15 15%
	(8)	89 22%	39 18%	50 26%	10 15%	13 15%	66 27%	22 19%	15 19%	33 28%	15 26%	4 14%	21 16%	57 28%	11 16%	20 23%	58 23%	11 18%	72 24%	17 17%
	(7)	64 16%	29 14%	35 18%	12 18%	15 17%	37 15%	23 20%	10 13%	14 12%	14 24%	3 11%	24 18%	30 15%	10 15%	12 14%	44 17%	8 13%	50 17%	14 14%
	(6)	60 15%	42 20%	18 9%	15 23%	17 19%	28 11%	14 12%	13 16%	18 15%	10 17%	5 18%	22 17%	29 14%	9 13%	13 15%	41 16%	6 10%	37 12%	23 23%
	(5)	67 17%	40 19%	27 14%	10 15%	15 17%	41 17%	22 19%	7 9%	29 24%	6 10%	3 11%	25 19%	24 12%	18 27%	9 10%	42 17%	16 26%	59 20%	8 8%
	(4)	22 5%	15 7%	7 4%	3 5%	6 7%	13 5%	10 9%	4 5%	5 4%	-	3 11%	7 5%	10 5%	5 7%	6 7%	10 4%	6 10%	18 6%	4 4%
	(3)	10 2%	8 4%	2 1%	5 8%	1 1%	4 2%	4 3%	2 3%	3 3%	1 2%	-	1 1%	8 4%	1 1%	-	8 3%	2 3%	7 2%	3 3%
	(2)	10 2%	5 2%	5 3%	3 5%	3 3%	4 2%	2 2%	2 3%	2 2%	4 7%	-	3 2%	3 1%	4 6%	2 2%	2 1%	6 10%	7 2%	3 3%
Not important at all	(1)	2	-	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1	1 1%	-	1	1 2%	1 *	1 1%
NET: 8-10		162 40%	70 33%	92 48%	18 27%	30 34%	114 46%	40 34%	38 48%	48 40%	22 38%	14 50%	48 37%	95 47%	19 28%	42 49%	103 41%	17 27%	119 40%	43 43%
NET: 4-7		213 53%	126 60%	87 46%	40 61%	53 60%	119 48%	69 59%	34 43%	66 55%	30 52%	14 50%	78 60%	93 46%	42 63%	40 47%	137 54%	36 58%	164 55%	49 49%
NET: 1-3		22 5%	13 6%	9 5%	8 12%	4 5%	10 4%	7 6%	5 6%	5 4%	5 9%	-	4 3%	12 6%	6 9%	2 2%	11 4%	9 15%	15 5%	7 7%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 12

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to improve the NHS

		Ger	nder	r Age					Region				ther Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 3%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	3 1%	-	2 2%	2 1%	-	2 1%	2 2%
Mean	6.76	6.49	7.06	6.24	6.69	6.93	6.56	7.10	6.61	6.74	7.29	6.78	6.96	6.12	7.20	6.85	5.79	6.69	6.96
Standard error	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.24	0.21	0.12	0.19	0.24	0.16	0.25	0.37	0.16	0.14	0.26	0.21	0.12	0.28	0.11	0.21

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to raise standards in schools Base: All respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Extremely important	(10)	54 13%	23 11%	31 16%	4 6%	13 15%	37 15%	12 10%	12 15%	19 16%	8 14%	3 11%	19 15%	30 15%	5 7%	20 23%	28 11%	6 10%	34 11%	20 20%
	(9)	60 15%	31 15%	29 15%	10 15%	12 14%	38 15%	17 15%	20 25%	10 8%	7 12%	6 21%	18 14%	36 18%	6 9%	7 8%	46 18%	7 11%	39 13%	21 21%
	(8)	106 26%	47 22%	59 31%	12 18%	15 17%	79 32%	25 21%	18 23%	34 29%	21 36%	8 29%	36 27%	56 28%	14 21%	27 31%	67 26%	12 19%	88 29%	18 18%
	(7)	76 19%	41 19%	35 18%	15 23%	18 20%	43 17%	36 31%	13 16%	15 13%	10 17%	2 7%	23 18%	40 20%	13 19%	11 13%	55 22%	10 16%	58 19%	18 18%
	(6)	46 11%	32 15%	14 7%	14 21%	15 17%	16 7%	9 8%	2 3%	23 19%	8 14%	4 14%	20 15%	18 9%	8 12%	11 13%	30 12%	5 8%	35 12%	11 11%
	(5)	32 8%	23 11%	9 5%	5 8%	8 9%	19 8%	9 8%	5 6%	14 12%	2 3%	2 7%	10 8%	13 6%	9 13%	5 6%	17 7%	10 16%	27 9%	5 5%
	(4)	9 2%	5 2%	4 2%	2 3%	3 3%	4 2%	3 3%	3 4%	2 2%	- -	1 4%	2 2%	4 2%	3 4%	3 3%	3 1%	3 5%	7 2%	2 2%
	(3)	6 1%	4 2%	2 1%	2 3%	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	3 4%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	4 2%	2 3%	-	5 2%	1 2%	3 1%	3 3%
	(2)	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	1	2 2%	- -	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	1 1%	-	-	3 5%	2 1%	1 1%
Not important at all	(1)	3 1%	-	3 2%	1 2%	-	2 1%	-	2 3%	-	- -	1 4%	-	1	2 3%	-	1	2 3%	3 1%	-
NET: 8-10		220 55%	101 48%	119 63%	26 39%	40 45%	154 63%	54 46%	50 63%	63 53%	36 62%	17 61%	73 56%	122 60%	25 37%	54 63%	141 56%	25 40%	161 54%	59 58%
NET: 4-7		163 41%	101 48%	62 33%	36 55%	44 50%	82 33%	57 49%	23 29%	54 45%	20 34%	9 32%	55 42%	75 37%	33 49%	30 35%	105 42%	28 45%	127 42%	36 36%
NET: 1-3		12 3%	6 3%	6 3%	4 6%	3 3%	5 2%	3 3%	5 6%	1 1%	2 3%	1 4%	1 1%	6 3%	5 7%	-	6 2%	6 10%	8 3%	4 4%

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 13

Q.2 I'm going to read out some reasons that other people have given as to why the Conservative Party scored the highest share of the vote at the 2010 general election. Please can you indicate on a scale of 1 to 10 how important you think each of these issues was in helping to ensure the Conservatives became the largest party (where 1 means it was not important at all, and 10 means it was extremely important)? The Conservatives seemed more likely to raise standards in schools

		Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	6 1%	3 1%	3 2%	-	1 1%	5 2%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 4%	2 2%	-	4 6%	2 2%	1	3 5%	4 1%	2 2%
Mean	7.49	7.28	7.72	6.92	7.29	7.73	7.39	7.63	7.42	7.66	7.44	7.60	7.67	6.70	7.85	7.57	6.64	7.40	7.77
Standard error	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.23	0.20	0.11	0.16	0.24	0.16	0.22	0.40	0.14	0.12	0.27	0.18	0.10	0.31	0.10	0.19

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 14

Q.3 Some people have said that even though they voted Conservative, they had some reservations or concerns about doing so. Thinking about those who had not voted Conservative at previous elections, what do you think their main reservations would have been about voting Conservative at the general

Base: All respondents

election last May?

		Ger	Gender Age						Region				ether Coal			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	_CAWI_
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Regarded as the party for the rich/ middle/ upper classes/ unsupportive of the working classes	54 13%	20 9%	34 18%	10 15%	12 14%	32 13%	24 21%	2 3%	22 18%	3 5%	3 11%	16 12%	29 14%	9 13%	9 10%	35 14%	10 16%	37 12%	17 17%
Always voted Labour/ for another party/ feel disloyal	46 11%	21 10%	25 13%	5 8%	12 14%	29 12%	11 9%	13 16%	12 10%	8 14%	2 7%	16 12%	20 10%	10 15%	6 7%	34 13%	6 10%	36 12%	10 10%
People were fed up with Labour/ Gorden Brown/ time for a change	44 11%	20 9%	24 13%	5 8%	8 9%	30 12%	17 15%	7 9%	15 13%	4 7%	1 4%	10 8%	29 14%	5 7%	8 9%	30 12%	6 10%	35 12%	9 9%
Funding/ cutbacks/ cuts in public spending/ deficit reduction plans	41 10%	23 11%	18 9%	12 18%	6 7%	23 9%	8 7%	10 13%	15 13%	6 10%	2 7%	14 11%	21 10%	6 9%	8 9%	27 11%	6 10%	30 10%	11 11%
Inexperienced government/ been out of government for too long	30 7%	25 12%	5 3%	2 3%	9 10%	19 8%	10 9%	4 5%	11 9%	2 3%	3 11%	13 10%	14 7%	3 4%	10 12%	20 8%	-	21 7%	9 9%
Benefit system/ welfare state cutbacks	29 7%	13 6%	16 8%	8 12%	4 5%	17 7%	4 3%	7 9%	11 9%	6 10%	1 4%	12 9%	12 6%	5 7%	8 9%	18 7%	3 5%	23 8%	6 6%
NHS policies	22 5%	11 5%	11 6%	4 6%	5 6%	13 5%	7 6%	7 9%	4 3%	2 3%	2 7%	10 8%	10 5%	2 3%	3 3%	14 6%	5 8%	15 5%	7 7%
Toubled history/ Fear of a Return to the Margaret Thatcher/ John Major days	20 5%	15 7%	5 3%	6 9%	4 5%	10 4%	5 4%	7 9%	2 2%	3 5%	3 11%	8 6%	9 4%	3 4%	3 3%	14 6%	3 5%	11 4%	9 9%
Fear of the unknown	18 4%	9 4%	9 5%	2 3%	7 8%	8 3%	7 6%	2 3%	5 4%	1 2%	3 11%	7 5%	10 5%	1 1%	4 5%	12 5%	2 3%	15 5%	3 3%
How they will handle the economy	17 4%	14 7%	3 2%	4 6%	2 2%	11 4%	7 6%	3 4%	4 3%	2 3%	1 4%	2 2%	12 6%	3 4%	4 5%	9 4%	4 6%	14 5%	3 3%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 14

Q.3 Some people have said that even though they voted Conservative, they had some reservations or concerns about doing so. Thinking about those who had not voted Conservative at previous elections, what do you think their main reservations would have been about voting Conservative at the general election last May?

Base: All respondents

		Gend	der	Age					Region				ether Coal			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Doubtful whether the conservative party can stick to their promises	16 4%	6 3%	10 5%	3 5%	5 6%	8 3%	5 4%	2 3%	5 4%	4 7%	-	5 4%	4 2%	7 10%	-	8 3%	8 13%	15 5%	1 1%
Job cuts/ unemployment	15 4%	7 3%	8 4%	4 6%	3 3%	8 3%	4 3%	2 3%	5 4%	3 5%	1 4%	8 6%	5 2%	2 3%	5 6%	8 3%	2 3%	10 3%	5 5%
David Cameron being young and inexperienced	14 3%	7 3%	7 4%	2 3%	1 1%	11 4%	2 2%	1 1%	4 3%	5 9%	2 7%	7 5%	4 2%	3 4%	3 3%	9 4%	2 3%	13 4%	1 1%
Negative press	12 3%	9 4%	3 2%	3 5%	4 5%	5 2%	3 3%	3 4%	3 3%	3 5%	-	5 4%	7 3%	- -	5 6%	6 2%	1 2%	10 3%	2 2%
Control of immigration	9 2%	5 2%	4 2%	2 3%	3 3%	4 2%	4 3%	3 4%	- -	2 3%	-	2 2%	6 3%	1 1%	3 3%	5 2%	1 2%	9 3%	- -
David Cameron not a strong leader/ unlikely to keep his promises	8 2%	4 2%	4 2%	2 3%	2 2%	4 2%	5 4%	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	3 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	5 8%	7 2%	1 1%
How they would deal with Europe	8 2%	7 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	6 2%	3 3%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	-	5 2%	3 4%	1 1%	4 2%	3 5%	8 3%	- -
People didn't like the possible prospect of a coalition	7 2%	2 1%	5 3%	1 2%	2 2%	4 2%	2 2%	3 4%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 2%	3 1%	1 1%	2 2%	4 2%	1 2%	6 2%	1 1%
Conservatives being too right wing	6 1%	5 2%	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	3 1%	4 3%	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	5 4%	1	-	2 2%	3 1%	1 2%	2 1%	4 4%
Changes to the education system	5 1%	1	4 2%	1 2%	1 1%	3 1%	- -	1 1%	2 2%	2 3%	-	3 2%	2 1%	- -	-	4 2%	1 2%	4 1%	1 1%
Taxation	5 1%	2 1%	3 2%	1 2%	-	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	3 4%	-	4 2%	1 2%	5 2%	-
How they would be on law & order	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	2 2%	1	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	4 1%	-

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 14

Absolutes/col percents

Q.3 Some people have said that even though they voted Conservative, they had some reservations or concerns about doing so. Thinking about those who had not voted Conservative at previous elections, what do you think their main reservations would have been about voting Conservative at the general election last May?

Base: All respondents

		Gender			Age				Region				ether Coali		_	of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Union attitude to the party	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	2 2%	1	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	-	1 1%	3 1%	- -	- -	4 2%	- -	2 1%	2 2%
Fears they are too close to big businesses/ banks	4 1%	1	3 2%	2 3%	1 1%	1	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	4 1%	-
Lack of clarity on all their policies	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	-	3 3%	1	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	1	1 1%	-	4 2%	-	4 1%	-
Historically Conservatives are always better for the country	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	- -	3 1%	-	1 1%	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	1	-	1 1%	2 1%	-	3 1%	- -
Privatisation	2	2 1%	-	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	2 1%	-	2 1%	-
Other	44 11%	23 11%	21 11%	4 6%	11 13%	29 12%	18 15%	13 16%	9 8%	3 5%	1 4%	10 8%	25 12%	9 13%	16 19%	23 9%	5 8%	32 11%	12 12%
None	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	- -	2 1%	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	3 2%	-	-	3 3%	-	-	1	2 2%
Don't know	54 13%	23 11%	31 16%	5 8%	9 10%	40 16%	11 9%	13 16%	12 10%	12 21%	6 21%	14 11%	32 16%	8 12%	6 7%	41 16%	7 11%	47 16%	7 7%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 15

Q.4 Overall, has the coalition government so far been doing better than you expected when it was first formed, or worse than you expected, or about the same as you expected?

Base: All respondents

		Gei	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better than I expected	131 33%	81 38%	50 26%	33 50%	32 36%	65 26%	42 36%	23 29%	39 33%	15 26%	12 43%	131 100%	-	-	46 53%	79 31%	6 10%	82 27%	49 49%
About the same as I expected	203 51%	93 44%	110 58%	28 42%	41 47%	134 54%	59 50%	36 46%	62 52%	33 57%	13 46%	-	203 100%	-	36 42%	153 60%	14 23%	159 53%	44 44%
Worse than I expected	67 17%	37 18%	30 16%	5 8%	15 17%	47 19%	16 14%	20 25%	18 15%	10 17%	3 11%	-	- -	67 100%	4 5%	21 8%	42 68%	59 20%	8 8%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 16

Q.5 Thinking about David Cameron's performance as Prime Minister, has that been better or worse than you expected when he took office, or about the same? Base: All respondents

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better than I expected	138	85	53	25	35	77	43	21	46	15	13	72	61	5	48	83	7	98	40
	34%	40%	28%	38%	40%	31%	37%	27%	39%	26%	46%	55%	30%	7%	56%	33%	11%	33%	40%
About the same as I expected	203	93	110	35	39	129	54	46	54	37	12	51	126	26	36	149	18	154	49
	51%	44%	58%	53%	44%	52%	46%	58%	45%	64%	43%	39%	62%	39%	42%	59%	29%	51%	49%
Worse than I expected	60	33	27	6	14	40	20	12	19	6	3	8	16	36	2	21	37	48	12
	15%	16%	14%	9%	16%	16%	17%	15%	16%	10%	11%	6%	8%	54%	2%	8%	60%	16%	12%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whether Coalition

View of Conservative

Table 17

Q.6 Which of the following do you think is the more accurate description of the current coalition government? Base: All respondents

		Ger	Gender		Age				Region				t Performa		view	Party	alive	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
It is a true coalition where decisions are made jointly and the Liberal Democrats have a substantial influence.	126 31%	72 34%	54 28%	24 36%	24 27%	78 32%	46 39%	33 42%	28 24%	12 21%	7 25%	42 32%	58 29%	26 39%	30 35%	72 28%	24 39%	97 32%	29 29%
It is a coalition led by the Conservatives in which the Liberal Democrats have a say and some influence.	219 55%	110 52%	109 57%	39 59%	48 55%	131 53%	53 45%	35 44%	77 65%	35 60%	19 68%	82 63%	112 55%	25 37%	46 53%	153 60%	20 32%	154 51%	65 64%
It is essentially a Conservative government with some Liberal Democrat window dressing	56 14%	29 14%	27 14%	3 5%	16 18%	37 15%	18 15%	11 14%	14 12%	11 19%	2 7%	7 5%	33 16%	16 24%	10 12%	28 11%	18 29%	49 16%	7 7%

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 18

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Summary Table

Base: All respondents

						Pe	ople				
		David Cameron, the Prime Minister	Nick Clegg, the Deputy Prime Minister	Ed Miliband, the Labour leader	George Osborne, the Chancellor	Ed Balls, the Shadow Chancellor	Vince Cable, the Business Secretary	William Hague, the Foreign Secretary	Ken Clarke, the Justice Secretary	Theresa May, the Home Secretary	Boris Johnson, the Mayor of London
Base		401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Excellent	(10)	89 22%	10 2%	2	30 7%	4 1%	6 1%	48 12%	21 5%	12 3%	58 14%
	(9)	116 29%	26 6%	4 1%	49 12%	3 1%	10 2%	64 16%	30 7%	36 9%	66 16%
	(8)	86 21%	64 16%	12 3%	95 24%	7 2%	38 9%	121 30%	62 15%	94 23%	89 22%
	(7)	46 11%	76 19%	13 3%	93 23%	27 7%	57 14%	72 18%	80 20%	93 23%	59 15%
	(6)	20 5%	56 14%	29 7%	46 11%	34 8%	63 16%	47 12%	52 13%	63 16%	46 11%
	(5)	24 6%	64 16%	50 12%	32 8%	50 12%	76 19%	21 5%	54 13%	47 12%	25 6%
	(4)	4 1%	28 7%	55 14%	21 5%	60 15%	49 12%	11 3%	24 6%	9 2%	20 5%
	(3)	2	26 6%	63 16%	9 2%	47 12%	28 7%	8 2%	17 4%	9 2%	11 3%
	(2)	8 2%	27 7%	62 15%	6 1%	50 12%	22 5%	4 1%	24 6%	12 3%	7 2%
Terrible	(1)	5 1%	21 5%	95 24%	4 1%	99 25%	17 4%	2	19 5%	4 1%	9 2%
NET: 8-10		291 73%	100 25%	18 4%	174 43%	14 3%	54 13%	233 58%	113 28%	142 35%	213 53%
NET: 4-7		94 23%	224 56%	147 37%	192 48%	171 43%	245 61%	151 38%	210 52%	212 53%	150 37%
NET: 1-3		15 4%	74 18%	220 55%	19 5%	196 49%	67 17%	14 3%	60 15%	25 6%	27 7%
Don't know		1	3 1%	16 4%	16 4%	20 5%	35 9%	3 1%	18 4%	22 5%	11 3%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 18

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? **Summary Table**

Base: All respondents

					Pe	ople				
	David Cameron, the Prime Minister	Nick Clegg, the Deputy Prime Minister	Ed Miliband, the Labour leader	George Osborne, the Chancellor	Ed Balls, the Shadow Chancellor	Vince Cable, the Business Secretary	William Hague, the Foreign Secretary	Ken Clarke, the Justice Secretary	Theresa May, the Home Secretary	Boris Johnson, the Mayor of London
Base	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Mean	8.07	5.78	3.36	7.05	3.53	5.33	7.52	6.09	6.73	7.27
Standard error	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.09	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 19

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

David Cameron, the Prime Minister

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der	Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology	
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	89 22%	46 22%	43 23%	16 24%	17 19%	56 23%	21 18%	19 24%	30 25%	10 17%	9 32%	41 31%	47 23%	1 1%	36 42%	51 20%	2 3%	59 20%	30 30%
	(9)	116 29%	70 33%	46 24%	22 33%	31 35%	63 26%	34 29%	25 32%	30 25%	22 38%	5 18%	49 37%	59 29%	8 12%	28 33%	85 34%	3 5%	80 27%	36 36%
	(8)	86 21%	39 18%	47 25%	13 20%	15 17%	57 23%	22 19%	15 19%	28 24%	15 26%	6 21%	28 21%	46 23%	12 18%	15 17%	61 24%	10 16%	72 24%	14 14%
	(7)	46 11%	20 9%	26 14%	5 8%	13 15%	28 11%	15 13%	9 11%	11 9%	5 9%	6 21%	6 5%	26 13%	14 21%	4 5%	28 11%	14 23%	37 12%	9 9%
	(6)	20 5%	12 6%	8 4%	5 8%	2 2%	13 5%	7 6%	2 3%	7 6%	3 5%	1 4%	5 4%	9 4%	6 9%	1 1%	15 6%	4 6%	15 5%	5 5%
	(5)	24 6%	15 7%	9 5%	2 3%	5 6%	17 7%	10 9%	3 4%	8 7%	2 3%	1 4%	2 2%	10 5%	12 18%	1 1%	8 3%	15 24%	23 8%	1 1%
	(4)	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	4 2%	2 2%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	1	3 4%	1 1%	-	3 5%	4 1%	-
	(3)	2	2 1%	-	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	2 3%	-	-	2 3%	2 1%	-
	(2)	8 2%	2 1%	6 3%	1 2%	4 5%	3 1%	3 3%	3 4%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	5 7%	-	3 1%	5 8%	5 2%	3 3%
Terrible	(1)	5 1%	3 1%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3 4%	1 1%	-	-	-	1	4 6%	-	1	4 6%	2 1%	3 3%
NET: 8-10		291 73%	155 73%	136 72%	51 77%	63 72%	176 72%	77 66%	59 75%	88 74%	47 81%	20 71%	118 90%	152 75%	21 31%	79 92%	197 78%	15 24%	211 70%	80 79%
NET: 4-7		94 23%	49 23%	45 24%	12 18%	20 23%	62 25%	34 29%	14 18%	28 24%	10 17%	8 29%	13 10%	46 23%	35 52%	7 8%	51 20%	36 58%	79 26%	15 15%
NET: 1-3		15 4%	7 3%	8 4%	3 5%	5 6%	7 3%	5 4%	6 8%	3 3%	1 2%	-	-	4 2%	11 16%	-	4 2%	11 18%	9 3%	6 6%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 19

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

David Cameron, the Prime Minister

Base: All respondents

		Ge	nder	Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology	
	T			40.54	55.04	05	South East/	North Eng-	Mid-	Wales/ South	Scot-	Better than expec-	Same as expec-	Worse than expec-	Chan- ged for the	Not chan- ged at	Chan- ged for the	0.4.7.1	0.414#
	Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u>18-54</u>	55-64	<u>65+</u>	London	<u>land</u>	lands	<u>West</u>	<u>land</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>better</u>	all	worse	<u>CATI</u>	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	1	-	1 1%	-	- -	1	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-
Mean	8.07	8.10	8.03	8.23	8.02	8.04	7.82	8.03	8.13	8.33	8.43	8.83	8.25	6.03	9.01	8.32	5.74	7.97	8.35
Standard error	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.23	0.22	0.12	0.19	0.25	0.17	0.20	0.27	0.10	0.12	0.29	0.13	0.10	0.30	0.11	0.21

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

Table 20

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Nick Clegg, the Deputy Prime Minister

Base: All respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	/ative	Metho	dology
			Male			55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	10 2%	3 1%	7 4%	4 6%	2 2%	4 2%	2 2%	4 5%	2 2%	-	2 7%	4 3%	5 2%	1 1%	5 6%	4 2%	1 2%	7 2%	3 3%
	(9)	26 6%	16 8%	10 5%	6 9%	5 6%	15 6%	8 7%	6 8%	8 7%	2 3%	2 7%	18 14%	8 4%	- -	10 12%	16 6%	-	13 4%	13 13%
	(8)	64 16%	31 15%	33 17%	16 24%	9 10%	39 16%	18 15%	11 14%	17 14%	12 21%	6 21%	31 24%	30 15%	3 4%	17 20%	44 17%	3 5%	45 15%	19 19%
	(7)	76 19%	37 18%	39 21%	9 14%	14 16%	53 22%	17 15%	12 15%	26 22%	16 28%	5 18%	30 23%	38 19%	8 12%	15 17%	58 23%	3 5%	62 21%	14 14%
	(6)	56 14%	27 13%	29 15%	8 12%	12 14%	35 14%	20 17%	11 14%	13 11%	5 9%	7 25%	18 14%	36 18%	2 3%	18 21%	33 13%	5 8%	44 15%	12 12%
	(5)	64 16%	30 14%	34 18%	5 8%	18 20%	41 17%	18 15%	14 18%	20 17%	11 19%	1 4%	15 11%	37 18%	12 18%	9 10%	46 18%	9 15%	46 15%	18 18%
	(4)	28 7%	18 9%	10 5%	4 6%	8 9%	16 7%	9 8%	4 5%	8 7%	6 10%	1 4%	5 4%	17 8%	6 9%	2 2%	18 7%	8 13%	25 8%	3 3%
	(3)	26 6%	16 8%	10 5%	5 8%	6 7%	15 6%	8 7%	6 8%	11 9%	-	1 4%	6 5%	13 6%	7 10%	3 3%	17 7%	6 10%	16 5%	10 10%
	(2)	27 7%	16 8%	11 6%	4 6%	9 10%	14 6%	8 7%	6 8%	7 6%	3 5%	3 11%	4 3%	11 5%	12 18%	4 5%	12 5%	11 18%	23 8%	4 4%
Terrible	(1)	21 5%	16 8%	5 3%	4 6%	5 6%	12 5%	7 6%	5 6%	6 5%	3 5%	-	-	6 3%	15 22%	2 2%	4 2%	15 24%	16 5%	5 5%
NET: 8-10		100 25%	50 24%	50 26%	26 39%	16 18%	58 24%	28 24%	21 27%	27 23%	14 24%	10 36%	53 40%	43 21%	4 6%	32 37%	64 25%	4 6%	65 22%	35 35%
NET: 4-7		224 56%	112 53%	112 59%	26 39%	52 59%	145 59%	64 55%	41 52%	67 56%	38 66%	14 50%	68 52%	128 63%	28 42%	44 51%	155 61%	25 40%	177 59%	47 47%
NET: 1-3		74 18%	48 23%	26 14%	13 20%	20 23%	41 17%	23 20%	17 22%	24 20%	6 10%	4 14%	10 8%	30 15%	34 51%	9 10%	33 13%	32 52%	55 18%	19 19%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 20

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Nick Clegg, the Deputy Prime Minister

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	T			40.54	55.04	05	South East/	North Eng-	Mid-	Wales/ South	Scot-	Better than expec-	Same as expec-	Worse than expec-	Chan- ged for the	Not chan- ged at	Chan- ged for the	0.4.71	O A VAII
	Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u> 18-54</u>	<u>55-64</u>	<u>65+</u>	<u>London</u>	<u>land</u>	<u>lands</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>land</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>better</u>	all	worse	<u>CATI</u>	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	3 1%	1	2 1%	1 2%	- -	2 1%	2 2%	-	1 1%	- -	- -	- -	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1	1 2%	3 1%	- -
Mean	5.78	5.53	6.05	6.17	5.34	5.83	5.63	5.73	5.70	5.93	6.46	6.79	5.79	3.73	6.60	6.04	3.56	5.67	6.08
Standard error	0.11	0.17	0.15	0.32	0.25	0.14	0.22	0.28	0.21	0.27	0.42	0.16	0.15	0.29	0.23	0.13	0.29	0.13	0.24

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 21

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Ed Miliband, the Labour leader

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male_	_Female_	18-54_	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	_CAWI_
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1	-	1 1%	1	-	-	2 2%
	(9)	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 3%	2 2%	-	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	2 3%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	3 1%	1 1%
	(8)	12 3%	6 3%	6 3%	3 5%	2 2%	7 3%	3 3%	3 4%	2 2%	3 5%	1 4%	2 2%	8 4%	2 3%	3 3%	7 3%	2 3%	8 3%	4 4%
	(7)	13 3%	6 3%	7 4%	1 2%	4 5%	8 3%	3 3%	4 5%	4 3%	- -	2 7%	4 3%	6 3%	3 4%	2 2%	8 3%	3 5%	12 4%	1 1%
	(6)	29 7%	13 6%	16 8%	3 5%	5 6%	21 9%	7 6%	5 6%	9 8%	6 10%	2 7%	10 8%	16 8%	3 4%	6 7%	21 8%	2 3%	20 7%	9 9%
	(5)	50 12%	23 11%	27 14%	6 9%	7 8%	37 15%	11 9%	8 10%	16 13%	9 16%	6 21%	16 12%	27 13%	7 10%	11 13%	33 13%	6 10%	35 12%	15 15%
	(4)	55 14%	37 18%	18 9%	12 18%	12 14%	30 12%	19 16%	7 9%	21 18%	5 9%	3 11%	24 18%	23 11%	8 12%	14 16%	31 12%	10 16%	43 14%	12 12%
	(3)	63 16%	36 17%	27 14%	7 11%	13 15%	43 17%	17 15%	14 18%	14 12%	15 26%	3 11%	21 16%	32 16%	10 15%	8 9%	43 17%	12 19%	45 15%	18 18%
	(2)	62 15%	40 19%	22 12%	12 18%	14 16%	36 15%	25 21%	15 19%	13 11%	5 9%	4 14%	19 15%	34 17%	9 13%	12 14%	45 18%	5 8%	48 16%	14 14%
Terrible	(1)	95 24%	44 21%	51 27%	17 26%	27 31%	51 21%	22 19%	21 27%	34 29%	13 22%	5 18%	33 25%	44 22%	18 27%	27 31%	51 20%	17 27%	71 24%	24 24%
NET: 8-10		18 4%	10 5%	8 4%	6 9%	4 5%	8 3%	7 6%	4 5%	2 2%	4 7%	1 4%	4 3%	10 5%	4 6%	5 6%	10 4%	3 5%	11 4%	7 7%
NET: 4-7		147 37%	79 37%	68 36%	22 33%	28 32%	96 39%	40 34%	24 30%	50 42%	20 34%	13 46%	54 41%	72 35%	21 31%	33 38%	93 37%	21 34%	110 37%	37 37%
NET: 1-3		220 55%	120 57%	100 53%	36 55%	54 61%	130 53%	64 55%	50 63%	61 51%	33 57%	12 43%	73 56%	110 54%	37 55%	47 55%	139 55%	34 55%	164 55%	56 55%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 21

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Ed Miliband, the Labour leader

		Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	16 4%	2 1%	14 7%	2 3%	2 2%	12 5%	6 5%	1 1%	6 5%	1 2%	2 7%	-	11 5%	5 7%	1 1%	11 4%	4 6%	15 5%	1 1%
Mean	3.36	3.35	3.38	3.39	3.13	3.44	3.41	3.24	3.22	3.54	3.77	3.31	3.42	3.32	3.28	3.41	3.29	3.31	3.51
Standard error	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.29	0.23	0.13	0.20	0.25	0.18	0.28	0.41	0.17	0.15	0.29	0.24	0.13	0.28	0.12	0.22

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 22

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

George Osborne, the Chancellor

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male_	Female	_18-54_	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	30 7%	17 8%	13 7%	6 9%	4 5%	20 8%	7 6%	10 13%	7 6%	2 3%	4 14%	15 11%	12 6%	3 4%	11 13%	16 6%	3 5%	18 6%	12 12%
	(9)	49 12%	32 15%	17 9%	15 23%	10 11%	24 10%	14 12%	8 10%	13 11%	12 21%	2 7%	29 22%	19 9%	1 1%	18 21%	27 11%	4 6%	28 9%	21 21%
	(8)	95 24%	42 20%	53 28%	17 26%	19 22%	59 24%	25 21%	22 28%	27 23%	17 29%	4 14%	33 25%	48 24%	14 21%	18 21%	68 27%	9 15%	71 24%	24 24%
	(7)	93 23%	48 23%	45 24%	10 15%	28 32%	54 22%	29 25%	10 13%	35 29%	12 21%	7 25%	25 19%	57 28%	11 16%	18 21%	66 26%	9 15%	73 24%	20 20%
	(6)	46 11%	25 12%	21 11%	7 11%	10 11%	29 12%	15 13%	10 13%	14 12%	4 7%	3 11%	17 13%	24 12%	5 7%	12 14%	30 12%	4 6%	35 12%	11 11%
	(5)	32 8%	22 10%	10 5%	2 3%	6 7%	24 10%	10 9%	6 8%	8 7%	4 7%	4 14%	8 6%	13 6%	11 16%	3 3%	17 7%	12 19%	27 9%	5 5%
	(4)	21 5%	11 5%	10 5%	5 8%	3 3%	13 5%	8 7%	2 3%	8 7%	-	3 11%	1 1%	11 5%	9 13%	3 3%	8 3%	10 16%	19 6%	2 2%
	(3)	9 2%	4 2%	5 3%	2 3%	1 1%	6 2%	2 2%	3 4%	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	6 3%	3 4%	1 1%	5 2%	3 5%	7 2%	2 2%
	(2)	6 1%	2 1%	4 2%	-	4 5%	2 1%	3 3%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	2 1%	4 6%	- -	3 1%	3 5%	4 1%	2 2%
Terrible	(1)	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	-	-	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	3 4%	1 1%	-	3 5%	3 1%	1 1%
NET: 8-10		174 43%	91 43%	83 44%	38 58%	33 38%	103 42%	46 39%	40 51%	47 39%	31 53%	10 36%	77 59%	79 39%	18 27%	47 55%	111 44%	16 26%	117 39%	57 56%
NET: 4-7		192 48%	106 50%	86 45%	24 36%	47 53%	120 49%	62 53%	28 35%	65 55%	20 34%	17 61%	51 39%	105 52%	36 54%	36 42%	121 48%	35 56%	154 51%	38 38%
NET: 1-3		19	8	11	2	5	12	6	5	4	4	-	1	8	10	2	8	9	14	5

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 22

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? George Osborne, the Chancellor

		Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	ative	Method	dology
	T			40.54	55.04	0.5	South East/	North Eng-	Mid-	Wales/ South	Scot-	Better than expec-	Same as expec-	Worse than expec-	Chan- ged for the	Not chan- ged at	Chan- ged for the	0.4.7.1	0.004//
	Total	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u> 18-54</u>	<u>55-64</u>	65+	London	<u>land</u>	<u>lands</u>	<u>West</u>	<u>land</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>ted</u>	<u>better</u>	all	worse	<u>CATI</u>	<u>CAWI</u>
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	16 4%	6 3%	10 5%	2 3%	3 3%	11 4%	3 3%	6 8%	3 3%	3 5%	1 4%	2 2%	11 5%	3 4%	1 1%	13 5%	2 3%	15 5%	1 1%
Mean	7.05	7.08	7.02	7.48	6.95	6.97	6.88	7.23	7.01	7.29	7.00	7.73	7.03	5.77	7.59	7.20	5.72	6.89	7.51
Standard error	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.23	0.20	0.13	0.18	0.24	0.16	0.25	0.37	0.14	0.12	0.29	0.19	0.11	0.30	0.11	0.19

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 23

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Ed Balls, the Shadow Chancellor

Base: All respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		_Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	-	2 2%	2 1%	-	3 3%	1	-	1	3 3%
	(9)	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	- -	2 1%	- -	2 3%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	1	- -	1 1%	2 1%	- -	2 1%	1 1%
	(8)	7 2%	2 1%	5 3%	3 5%	-	4 2%	2 2%	-	1 1%	3 5%	1 4%	4 3%	3 1%	- -	- -	6 2%	1 2%	5 2%	2 2%
	(7)	27 7%	13 6%	14 7%	2 3%	12 14%	12 5%	7 6%	5 6%	8 7%	5 9%	2 7%	12 9%	15 7%	- -	4 5%	22 9%	1 2%	23 8%	4 4%
	(6)	34 8%	16 8%	18 9%	6 9%	5 6%	23 9%	14 12%	6 8%	7 6%	6 10%	1 4%	13 10%	18 9%	3 4%	7 8%	24 9%	3 5%	23 8%	11 11%
	(5)	50 12%	26 12%	24 13%	6 9%	8 9%	36 15%	13 11%	12 15%	14 12%	5 9%	6 21%	13 10%	31 15%	6 9%	12 14%	30 12%	8 13%	38 13%	12 12%
	(4)	60 15%	37 18%	23 12%	15 23%	15 17%	30 12%	12 10%	9 11%	22 18%	11 19%	6 21%	22 17%	28 14%	10 15%	16 19%	35 14%	9 15%	43 14%	17 17%
	(3)	47 12%	29 14%	18 9%	7 11%	9 10%	31 13%	16 14%	6 8%	15 13%	8 14%	2 7%	8 6%	33 16%	6 9%	7 8%	35 14%	5 8%	35 12%	12 12%
	(2)	50 12%	33 16%	17 9%	11 17%	8 9%	31 13%	14 12%	13 16%	11 9%	7 12%	5 18%	21 16%	16 8%	13 19%	11 13%	28 11%	11 18%	37 12%	13 13%
Terrible	(1)	99 25%	46 22%	53 28%	11 17%	25 28%	63 26%	29 25%	21 27%	35 29%	11 19%	3 11%	31 24%	45 22%	23 34%	22 26%	55 22%	22 35%	77 26%	22 22%
NET: 8-10		14 3%	6 3%	8 4%	6 9%	1 1%	7 3%	4 3%	4 5%	2 2%	3 5%	1 4%	8 6%	6 3%	- -	4 5%	9 4%	1 2%	8 3%	6 6%
NET: 4-7		171 43%	92 44%	79 42%	29 44%	40 45%	101 41%	46 39%	32 41%	51 43%	27 47%	15 54%	60 46%	92 45%	19 28%	39 45%	111 44%	21 34%	127 42%	44 44%
NET: 1-3		196 49%	108 51%	88 46%	29 44%	42 48%	125 51%	59 50%	40 51%	61 51%	26 45%	10 36%	60 46%	94 46%	42 63%	40 47%	118 47%	38 61%	149 50%	47 47%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 23

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Ed Balls, the Shadow Chancellor

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot-	Better than expec-	Same as expec-	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
	_ TOtal	iviale	remale	10-34	33-64		London	<u>lanu</u>	<u>lanus</u>	vvesi	<u>lanu</u>	<u>ted</u>	ted	<u>teu</u>	Detter	all	_worse_	_CATI_	CAVVI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	20 5%	5 2%	15 8%	2 3%	5 6%	13 5%	8 7%	3 4%	5 4%	2 3%	2 7%	3 2%	11 5%	6 9%	3 3%	15 6%	2 3%	16 5%	4 4%
Mean	3.53	3.49	3.59	3.86	3.52	3.43	3.55	3.55	3.29	3.80	3.88	3.77	3.69	2.54	3.59	3.70	2.80	3.47	3.72
Standard error	0.11	0.14	0.17	0.29	0.25	0.14	0.22	0.28	0.19	0.29	0.38	0.21	0.15	0.20	0.26	0.14	0.24	0.13	0.23

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 24

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Vince Cable, the Business Secretary

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	6 1%	2 1%	4 2%	2 3%	1 1%	3 1%	- -	4 5%	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 2%	4 2%	-	4 5%	2 1%	-	4 1%	2 2%
	(9)	10 2%	3 1%	7 4%	2 3%	3 3%	5 2%	3 3%	3 4%	2 2%	2 3%	-	3 2%	7 3%	-	3 3%	6 2%	1 2%	7 2%	3 3%
	(8)	38 9%	21 10%	17 9%	8 12%	5 6%	25 10%	8 7%	9 11%	8 7%	9 16%	4 14%	19 15%	15 7%	4 6%	10 12%	27 11%	1 2%	26 9%	12 12%
	(7)	57 14%	36 17%	21 11%	7 11%	12 14%	38 15%	14 12%	10 13%	22 18%	7 12%	4 14%	20 15%	33 16%	4 6%	10 12%	43 17%	4 6%	42 14%	15 15%
	(6)	63 16%	33 16%	30 16%	10 15%	17 19%	36 15%	22 19%	10 13%	15 13%	11 19%	5 18%	28 21%	32 16%	3 4%	19 22%	39 15%	5 8%	44 15%	19 19%
	(5)	76 19%	40 19%	36 19%	10 15%	20 23%	46 19%	23 20%	13 16%	26 22%	7 12%	7 25%	22 17%	42 21%	12 18%	19 22%	46 18%	11 18%	58 19%	18 18%
	(4)	49 12%	27 13%	22 12%	10 15%	10 11%	28 11%	15 13%	11 14%	11 9%	11 19%	1 4%	12 9%	26 13%	11 16%	4 5%	34 13%	11 18%	34 11%	15 15%
	(3)	28 7%	18 9%	10 5%	6 9%	7 8%	15 6%	7 6%	5 6%	8 7%	6 10%	2 7%	9 7 %	12 6%	7 10%	4 5%	19 8%	5 8%	20 7%	8 8%
	(2)	22 5%	13 6%	9 5%	6 9%	3 3%	13 5%	6 5%	2 3%	13 11%	1 2%	-	7 5%	7 3%	8 12%	5 6%	11 4%	6 10%	18 6%	4 4%
Terrible	(1)	17 4%	12 6%	5 3%	3 5%	4 5%	10 4%	7 6%	3 4%	4 3%	2 3%	1 4%	4 3%	5 2%	8 12%	4 5%	3 1%	10 16%	13 4%	4 4%
NET: 8-10		54 13%	26 12%	28 15%	12 18%	9 10%	33 13%	11 9%	16 20%	11 9%	12 21%	4 14%	24 18%	26 13%	4 6%	17 20%	35 14%	2 3%	37 12%	17 17%
NET: 4-7		245 61%	136 64%	109 57%	37 56%	59 67%	148 60%	74 63%	44 56%	74 62%	36 62%	17 61%	82 63%	133 66%	30 45%	52 60%	162 64%	31 50%	178 59%	67 66%
NET: 1-3		67 17%	43 20%	24 13%	15 23%	14 16%	38 15%	20 17%	10 13%	25 21%	9 16%	3 11%	20 15%	24 12%	23 34%	13 15%	33 13%	21 34%	51 17%	16 16%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 24

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Vince Cable, the Business Secretary

		Gen	Gender		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	35 9%	6 3%	29 15%	2 3%	6 7%	27 11%	12 10%	9 11%	9 8%	1 2%	4 14%	5 4%	20 10%	10 15%	4 5%	23 9%	8 13%	34 11%	1 1%
Mean	5.33	5.20	5.51	5.22	5.29	5.39	5.10	5.71	5.13	5.54	5.67	5.63	5.55	3.98	5.71	5.53	3.91	5.28	5.48
Standard error	0.11	0.14	0.16	0.28	0.21	0.14	0.19	0.27	0.19	0.27	0.36	0.18	0.14	0.27	0.24	0.12	0.28	0.13	0.20

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

Table 25

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

William Hague, the Foreign Secretary

Base: All respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Metho	dology
			Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	48 12%	17 8%	31 16%	5 8%	7 8%	36 15%	9 8%	13 16%	16 13%	7 12%	3 11%	16 12%	26 13%	6 9%	11 13%	31 12%	6 10%	41 14%	7 7%
	(9)	64 16%	35 17%	29 15%	10 15%	14 16%	40 16%	20 17%	10 13%	19 16%	12 21%	3 11%	27 21%	33 16%	4 6%	24 28%	35 14%	5 8%	49 16%	15 15%
	(8)	121 30%	64 30%	57 30%	16 24%	27 31%	78 32%	30 26%	23 29%	40 34%	15 26%	13 46%	39 30%	61 30%	21 31%	27 31%	83 33%	11 18%	95 32%	26 26%
	(7)	72 18%	40 19%	32 17%	12 18%	20 23%	39 16%	22 19%	17 22%	16 13%	12 21%	5 18%	24 18%	37 18%	11 16%	13 15%	48 19%	11 18%	52 17%	20 20%
	(6)	47 12%	28 13%	19 10%	14 21%	10 11%	23 9%	22 19%	6 8%	14 12%	4 7%	1 4%	17 13%	22 11%	8 12%	7 8%	30 12%	10 16%	32 11%	15 15%
	(5)	21 5%	13 6%	8 4%	3 5%	5 6%	13 5%	5 4%	3 4%	10 8%	1 2%	2 7%	4 3%	11 5%	6 9%	1 1%	11 4%	9 15%	15 5%	6 6%
	(4)	11 3%	6 3%	5 3%	2 3%	1 1%	8 3%	5 4%	3 4%	-	2 3%	1 4%	3 2%	4 2%	4 6%	2 2%	5 2%	4 6%	6 2%	5 5%
	(3)	8 2%	4 2%	4 2%	1 2%	3 3%	4 2%	1 1%	3 4%	2 2%	2 3%	-	1 1%	2 1%	5 7%	-	4 2%	4 6%	6 2%	2 2%
	(2)	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	1 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 2%	3 1%	1 1%
Terrible	(1)	2	1	1 1%	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1	1 1%	-	1	1 2%	-	2 2%
NET: 8-10		233 58%	116 55%	117 62%	31 47%	48 55%	154 63%	59 50%	46 58%	75 63%	34 59%	19 68%	82 63%	120 59%	31 46%	62 72%	149 59%	22 35%	185 62%	48 48%
NET: 4-7		151 38%	87 41%	64 34%	31 47%	36 41%	83 34%	54 46%	29 37%	40 34%	19 33%	9 32%	48 37%	74 36%	29 43%	23 27%	94 37%	34 55%	105 35%	46 46%
NET: 1-3		14 3%	7 3%	7 4%	2 3%	4 5%	8 3%	3 3%	4 5%	4 3%	3 5%	-	1 1%	6 3%	7 10%	1 1%	7 3%	6 10%	9 3%	5 5%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 25

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

William Hague, the Foreign Secretary

		Gei	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	3 1%	1	2 1%	2 3%	-	1	1 1%	-	-	2 3%	-	-	3 1%	-	-	3 1%	-	1	2 2%
Mean	7.52	7.39	7.67	7.25	7.41	7.63	7.30	7.56	7.61	7.63	7.71	7.79	7.60	6.76	8.02	7.59	6.55	7.66	7.10
Standard error	0.09	0.12	0.13	0.21	0.18	0.12	0.16	0.21	0.16	0.25	0.27	0.13	0.12	0.26	0.16	0.10	0.27	0.10	0.19

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 26

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? Ken Clarke, the Justice Secretary

Base: All respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	_18-54_	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	21 5%	10 5%	11 6%	3 5%	2 2%	16 7%	3 3%	4 5%	8 7%	4 7%	2 7%	7 5%	11 5%	3 4%	7 8%	12 5%	2 3%	16 5%	5 5%
	(9)	30 7%	16 8%	14 7%	5 8%	8 9%	17 7%	9 8%	8 10%	9 8%	4 7%	-	16 12%	14 7%	-	10 12%	19 8%	1 2%	21 7%	9 9%
	(8)	62 15%	30 14%	32 17%	7 11%	13 15%	42 17%	15 13%	8 10%	20 17%	12 21%	7 25%	20 15%	35 17%	7 10%	15 17%	44 17%	3 5%	50 17%	12 12%
	(7)	80 20%	36 17%	44 23%	14 21%	22 25%	43 17%	21 18%	20 25%	22 18%	9 16%	8 29%	37 28%	38 19%	5 7%	16 19%	58 23%	6 10%	54 18%	26 26%
	(6)	52 13%	30 14%	22 12%	9 14%	13 15%	30 12%	18 15%	6 8%	20 17%	5 9%	3 11%	20 15%	29 14%	3 4%	13 15%	37 15%	2 3%	35 12%	17 17%
	(5)	54 13%	30 14%	24 13%	11 17%	11 13%	32 13%	20 17%	13 16%	9 8%	7 12%	5 18%	15 11%	28 14%	11 16%	12 14%	29 11%	13 21%	47 16%	7 7%
	(4)	24 6%	13 6%	11 6%	4 6%	6 7%	14 6%	7 6%	5 6%	6 5%	4 7%	2 7%	3 2%	12 6%	9 13%	3 3%	15 6%	6 10%	16 5%	8 8%
	(3)	17 4%	11 5%	6 3%	3 5%	4 5%	10 4%	4 3%	4 5%	5 4%	4 7%	-	6 5%	6 3%	5 7%	2 2%	9 4%	6 10%	11 4%	6 6%
	(2)	24 6%	17 8%	7 4%	4 6%	3 3%	17 7%	10 9%	2 3%	9 8%	3 5%	-	2 2%	13 6%	9 13%	4 5%	10 4%	10 16%	20 7%	4 4%
Terrible	(1)	19 5%	12 6%	7 4%	3 5%	3 3%	13 5%	5 4%	6 8%	6 5%	2 3%	-	4 3%	5 2%	10 15%	3 3%	6 2%	10 16%	15 5%	4 4%
NET: 8-10		113 28%	56 27%	57 30%	15 23%	23 26%	75 30%	27 23%	20 25%	37 31%	20 34%	9 32%	43 33%	60 30%	10 15%	32 37%	75 30%	6 10%	87 29%	26 26%
NET: 4-7		210 52%	109 52%	101 53%	38 58%	52 59%	119 48%	66 56%	44 56%	57 48%	25 43%	18 64%	75 57%	107 53%	28 42%	44 51%	139 55%	27 44%	152 51%	58 57%
NET: 1-3		60 15%	40 19%	20 11%	10 15%	10 11%	40 16%	19 16%	12 15%	20 17%	9 16%	-	12 9%	24 12%	24 36%	9 10%	25 10%	26 42%	46 15%	14 14%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 26

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? Ken Clarke, the Justice Secretary

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	18 4%	6 3%	12 6%	3 5%	3 3%	12 5%	5 4%	3 4%	5 4%	4 7%	1 4%	1 1%	12 6%	5 7%	1 1%	14 6%	3 5%	15 5%	3 3%
Mean	6.09	5.84	6.38	5.94	6.21	6.08	5.81	6.04	6.17	6.22	6.78	6.66	6.25	4.40	6.58	6.40	4.14	6.05	6.20
Standard error	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.29	0.23	0.16	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.33	0.30	0.18	0.16	0.33	0.25	0.14	0.32	0.14	0.23

Conservative Party Members Poll
CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 27

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Theresa May, the Home Secretary

Base: All respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		Total	Male_	Female	_18-54_	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	12 3%	6 3%	6 3%	3 5%	2 2%	7 3%	2 2%	2 3%	3 3%	4 7%	1 4%	5 4%	5 2%	2 3%	5 6%	5 2%	2 3%	6 2%	6 6%
	(9)	36 9%	14 7%	22 12%	6 9%	6 7%	24 10%	11 9%	9 11%	8 7%	5 9%	3 11%	19 15%	17 8%	-	14 16%	21 8%	1 2%	24 8%	12 12%
	(8)	94 23%	45 21%	49 26%	10 15%	18 20%	66 27%	33 28%	17 22%	24 20%	18 31%	2 7%	31 24%	55 27%	8 12%	24 28%	63 25%	7 11%	73 24%	21 21%
	(7)	93 23%	56 27%	37 19%	20 30%	23 26%	49 20%	24 21%	15 19%	37 31%	11 19%	6 21%	39 30%	44 22%	10 15%	20 23%	67 26%	6 10%	65 22%	28 28%
	(6)	63 16%	37 18%	26 14%	11 17%	18 20%	34 14%	15 13%	18 23%	19 16%	3 5%	8 29%	13 10%	35 17%	15 22%	8 9%	41 16%	14 23%	50 17%	13 13%
	(5)	47 12%	23 11%	24 13%	9 14%	10 11%	28 11%	13 11%	8 10%	12 10%	11 19%	3 11%	19 15%	16 8%	12 18%	11 13%	27 11%	9 15%	37 12%	10 10%
	(4)	9 2%	8 4%	1 1%	2 3%	4 5%	3 1%	2 2%	4 5%	1 1%	1 2%	1 4%	2 2%	1	6 9%	-	3 1%	6 10%	6 2%	3 3%
	(3)	9 2%	8 4%	1 1%	1 2%	2 2%	6 2%	2 2%	2 3%	4 3%	1 2%	-	1 1%	4 2%	4 6%	2 2%	2 1%	5 8%	6 2%	3 3%
	(2)	12 3%	5 2%	7 4%	2 3%	2 2%	8 3%	7 6%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	-	8 4%	4 6%	1 1%	5 2%	6 10%	9 3%	3 3%
Terrible	(1)	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	-	3 3%	-	-	-	1	3 4%	-	1	3 5%	3 1%	1 1%
NET: 8-10		142 35%	65 31%	77 41%	19 29%	26 30%	97 39%	46 39%	28 35%	35 29%	27 47%	6 21%	55 42%	77 38%	10 15%	43 50%	89 35%	10 16%	103 34%	39 39%
NET: 4-7		212 53%	124 59%	88 46%	42 64%	55 63%	114 46%	54 46%	45 57%	69 58%	26 45%	18 64%	73 56%	96 47%	43 64%	39 45%	138 55%	35 56%	158 53%	54 53%
NET: 1-3		25 6%	16 8%	9 5%	3 5%	5 6%	17 7%	10 9%	3 4%	9 8%	2 3%	1 4%	1 1%	13 6%	11 16%	3 3%	8 3%	14 23%	18 6%	7 7%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 27

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so?

Theresa May, the Home Secretary

		Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	22 5%	6 3%	16 8%	2 3%	2 2%	18 7%	7 6%	3 4%	6 5%	3 5%	3 11%	2 2%	17 8%	3 4%	1 1%	18 7%	3 5%	21 7%	1 1%
Mean	6.73	6.56	6.94	6.72	6.56	6.80	6.68	6.76	6.64	7.05	6.56	7.18	6.85	5.48	7.28	6.90	5.25	6.68	6.88
Standard error	0.09	0.13	0.13	0.22	0.19	0.12	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.24	0.35	0.13	0.13	0.26	0.18	0.10	0.29	0.11	0.19

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whathar Caplitian

View of Conservative

Table 28

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? Boris Johnson, the Mayor of London

Base: All respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Excellent	(10)	58 14%	31 15%	27 14%	11 17%	14 16%	33 13%	19 16%	12 15%	18 15%	6 10%	3 11%	22 17%	30 15%	6 9%	21 24%	31 12%	6 10%	37 12%	21 21%
	(9)	66 16%	38 18%	28 15%	10 15%	11 13%	45 18%	26 22%	10 13%	18 15%	9 16%	3 11%	23 18%	36 18%	7 10%	17 20%	44 17%	5 8%	45 15%	21 21%
	(8)	89 22%	41 19%	48 25%	14 21%	19 22%	56 23%	24 21%	20 25%	24 20%	16 28%	5 18%	33 25%	43 21%	13 19%	20 23%	57 23%	12 19%	69 23%	20 20%
	(7)	59 15%	31 15%	28 15%	9 14%	15 17%	35 14%	15 13%	10 13%	21 18%	8 14%	5 18%	19 15%	32 16%	8 12%	7 8%	42 17%	10 16%	47 16%	12 12%
	(6)	46 11%	30 14%	16 8%	10 15%	15 17%	20 8%	14 12%	10 13%	12 10%	7 12%	3 11%	16 12%	21 10%	9 13%	7 8%	33 13%	6 10%	35 12%	11 11%
	(5)	25 6%	13 6%	12 6%	1 2%	4 5%	20 8%	6 5%	4 5%	8 7%	2 3%	5 18%	3 2%	14 7%	8 12%	5 6%	11 4%	9 15%	22 7%	3 3%
	(4)	20 5%	10 5%	10 5%	5 8%	1 1%	14 6%	3 3%	5 6%	10 8%	2 3%	-	5 4%	9 4%	6 9%	4 5%	13 5%	3 5%	18 6%	2 2%
	(3)	11 3%	3 1%	8 4%	-	2 2%	9 4%	5 4%	1 1%	2 2%	3 5%	-	1 1%	6 3%	4 6%	1 1%	6 2%	4 6%	10 3%	1 1%
	(2)	7 2%	4 2%	3 2%	3 5%	2 2%	2 1%	2 2%	4 5%	- -	-	1 4%	2 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 1%	4 2%	2 3%	5 2%	2 2%
Terrible	(1)	9 2%	6 3%	3 2%	1 2%	3 3%	5 2%	2 2%	2 3%	2 2%	2 3%	1 4%	1 1%	4 2%	4 6%	1 1%	5 2%	3 5%	5 2%	4 4%
NET: 8-10		213 53%	110 52%	103 54%	35 53%	44 50%	134 54%	69 59%	42 53%	60 50%	31 53%	11 39%	78 60%	109 54%	26 39%	58 67%	132 52%	23 37%	151 50%	62 61%
NET: 4-7		150 37%	84 40%	66 35%	25 38%	35 40%	89 36%	38 32%	29 37%	51 43%	19 33%	13 46%	43 33%	76 37%	31 46%	23 27%	99 39%	28 45%	122 41%	28 28%
NET: 1-3		27 7%	13 6%	14 7%	4 6%	7 8%	16 7%	9 8%	7 9%	4 3%	5 9%	2 7%	4 3%	14 7%	9 13%	3 3%	15 6%	9 15%	20 7%	7 7%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 28

Q.7 Please can you rate the following people for their performance on a scale of 1 to 10, where 1 means "terrible" and 10 means "excellent". If you don't know enough about them to have a view either way, please say so? Boris Johnson, the Mayor of London

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	/ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Don't know	11 3%	4 2%	7 4%	2 3%	2 2%	7 3%	1 1%	1 1%	4 3%	3 5%	2 7%	6 5%	4 2%	1 1%	2 2%	7 3%	2 3%	7 2%	4 4%
Mean	7.27	7.27	7.27	7.30	7.24	7.28	7.48	7.09	7.31	7.20	6.85	7.70	7.31	6.35	7.87	7.28	6.38	7.14	7.66
Standard error	0.11	0.15	0.16	0.28	0.24	0.14	0.20	0.27	0.19	0.29	0.44	0.17	0.15	0.30	0.23	0.13	0.32	0.12	0.23

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 29

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority?

Summary Table

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

								Priorities						
		Getting the economy growing and creating jobs	Cutting the deficit and the debt	Improving the NHS	Improving schools	Prot- ecting the environ- ment	Dealing with crime	Standing up for Britain in the EU	Reforming welfare to stop scroun- gers and cut benefit depend- ency	Cont- rolling immi- gration	Cutting taxes	Supp- orting marriage through the tax system	Cutting the size of the state	Scrapping unnec- essary rules and regu- lations
Base		203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203	203
NET: High priority		194 96%	183 90%	141 69%	148 73%	73 36%	162 80%	155 76%	178 88%	161 79%	69 34%	82 40%	100 49%	155 76%
A very high priority	(5)	116 57%	103 51%	45 22%	43 21%	14 7%	51 25%	83 41%	92 45%	66 33%	20 10%	27 13%	32 16%	63 31%
A high priority	(4)	78 38%	80 39%	96 47%	105 52%	59 29%	111 55%	72 35%	86 42%	95 47%	49 24%	55 27%	68 33%	92 45%
A medium priority	(3)	7 3%	17 8%	58 29%	52 26%	93 46%	35 17%	42 21%	21 10%	33 16%	108 53%	83 41%	59 29%	37 18%
A quite low priority	(2)	1	2 1%	3 1%	2 1%	26 13%	6 3%	4 2%	3 1%	7 3%	19 9%	24 12%	22 11%	10 5%
A very low priority	(1)	1	1	1	1	9 4%	- -	2 1%	1	2 1%	7 3%	12 6%	3 1%	1 *
NET: Low priority		2 1%	3 1%	4 2%	3 1%	35 17%	6 3%	6 3%	4 2%	9 4%	26 13%	36 18%	25 12%	11 5%
Don't know		- -	- -	- -	-	2 1%	- -	- -	-	- -	- -	2 1%	19 9%	-
Mean		4.51	4.39	3.89	3.92	3.21	4.02	4.13	4.31	4.06	3.28	3.30	3.57	4.01
Standard error		0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 **CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011**

Table 30 Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a

very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Getting the economy growing and creating jobs

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		194 96%	111 97%	83 93%	28 93%	47 98%	118 95%	51 91%	44 96%	57 98%	31 97%	11 100%	61 95%	101 97%	32 91%	40 95%	124 95%	30 97%	145 95%	49 96%
A very high priority	(5)	116 57%	74 65%	42 47%	16 53%	33 69%	66 53%	34 61%	26 57%	31 53%	19 59%	6 55%	39 61%	60 58%	17 49%	29 69%	72 55%	15 48%	79 52%	37 73%
A high priority	(4)	78 38%	37 32%	41 46%	12 40%	14 29%	52 42%	17 30%	18 39%	26 45%	12 38%	5 45%	22 34%	41 39%	15 43%	11 26%	52 40%	15 48%	66 43%	12 24%
A medium priority	(3)	7 3%	3 3%	4 4%	2 7%	1 2%	4 3%	4 7%	1 2%	1 2%	1 3%	-	3 5%	3 3%	1 3%	2 5%	5 4%	-	5 3%	2 4%
A quite low priority	(2)	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
NET: Low priority		2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	2 6%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
Mean		4.51	4.62	4.37	4.47	4.67	4.46	4.50	4.48	4.52	4.56	4.55	4.56	4.55	4.31	4.64	4.49	4.42	4.45	4.69
Standard error		0.04	0.05	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.16	0.07	0.05	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.12	0.05	0.08

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whathar Caplitian

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 31

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Cutting the deficit and the debt

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age								ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
			Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		183 90%	104 91%	79 89%	27 90%	41 85%	114 92%	50 89%	41 89%	53 91%	29 91%	10 91%	58 91%	98 94%	27 77%	38 90%	121 93%	24 77%	134 88%	49 96%
A very high priority	(5)	103 51%	62 54%	41 46%	15 50%	24 50%	63 51%	29 52%	24 52%	29 50%	18 56%	3 27%	33 52%	59 57%	11 31%	26 62%	66 51%	11 35%	67 44%	36 71%
A high priority	(4)	80 39%	42 37%	38 43%	12 40%	17 35%	51 41%	21 38%	17 37%	24 41%	11 34%	7 64%	25 39%	39 38%	16 46%	12 29%	55 42%	13 42%	67 44%	13 25%
A medium priority	(3)	17 8%	10 9%	7 8%	3 10%	7 15%	7 6%	5 9%	4 9%	5 9%	2 6%	1 9%	6 9%	5 5%	6 17%	4 10%	7 5%	6 19%	15 10%	2 4%
A quite low priority	(2)	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
NET: Low priority		3 1%	-	3 3%	-	-	3 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	2 6%	-	2 2%	1 3%	3 2%	-
Mean		4.39	4.46	4.30	4.40	4.35	4.40	4.39	4.37	4.41	4.44	4.18	4.42	4.50	4.00	4.52	4.42	4.10	4.30	4.67
Standard error		0.05	0.06	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.13	0.18	0.08	0.06	0.16	0.10	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.08

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 32

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority?

Improving the NHS

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total_	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u> 18-54</u>	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		141 69%	78 68%	63 71%	16 53%	31 65%	93 75%	37 66%	32 70%	42 72%	23 72%	7 64%	41 64%	78 75%	22 63%	31 74%	91 70%	19 61%	104 68%	37 73%
A very high priority	(5)	45 22%	25 22%	20 22%	6 20%	8 17%	31 25%	13 23%	12 26%	12 21%	7 22%	1 9%	9 14%	27 26%	9 26%	12 29%	23 18%	10 32%	33 22%	12 24%
A high priority	(4)	96 47%	53 46%	43 48%	10 33%	23 48%	62 50%	24 43%	20 43%	30 52%	16 50%	6 55%	32 50%	51 49%	13 37%	19 45%	68 52%	9 29%	71 47%	25 49%
A medium priority	(3)	58 29%	33 29%	25 28%	14 47%	15 31%	29 23%	16 29%	13 28%	16 28%	9 28%	4 36%	23 36%	26 25%	9 26%	11 26%	38 29%	9 29%	45 30%	13 25%
A quite low priority	(2)	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	3 5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 9%	-	1 1%	2 6%	3 2%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%
NET: Low priority		4 2%	3 3%	1 1%	-	2 4%	2 2%	3 5%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	4 11%	-	1 1%	3 10%	3 2%	1 2%
Mean		3.89	3.87	3.92	3.73	3.75	3.98	3.84	3.91	3.93	3.94	3.73	3.78	4.01	3.74	4.02	3.87	3.81	3.88	3.92
Standard error		0.05	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.13	0.19	0.08	0.07	0.18	0.12	0.06	0.19	0.06	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 33

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Improving schools

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Gen	der	Age					Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	_18-54_	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		148 73%	87 76%	61 69%	16 53%	31 65%	100 81%	39 70%	36 78%	41 71%	25 78%	7 64%	47 73%	75 72%	26 74%	29 69%	96 74%	23 74%	114 75%	34 67%
A very high priority	(5)	43 21%	27 24%	16 18%	5 17%	9 19%	29 23%	14 25%	11 24%	12 21%	5 16%	1 9%	11 17%	24 23%	8 23%	11 26%	21 16%	11 35%	30 20%	13 25%
A high priority	(4)	105 52%	60 53%	45 51%	11 37%	22 46%	71 57%	25 45%	25 54%	29 50%	20 63%	6 55%	36 56%	51 49%	18 51%	18 43%	75 58%	12 39%	84 55%	21 41%
A medium priority	(3)	52 26%	25 22%	27 30%	14 47%	16 33%	22 18%	15 27%	9 20%	17 29%	7 22%	4 36%	17 27%	29 28%	6 17%	13 31%	33 25%	6 19%	36 24%	16 31%
A quite low priority	(2)	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	2 4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 6%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%
NET: Low priority		3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 2%	2 4%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	3 9%	-	1 1%	2 6%	2 1%	1 2%
Mean		3.92	3.97	3.85	3.70	3.79	4.02	3.91	3.98	3.91	3.94	3.73	3.91	3.95	3.86	3.95	3.89	4.00	3.93	3.88
Standard error		0.05	0.07	0.08	0.14	0.12	0.06	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.11	0.19	0.08	0.07	0.16	0.12	0.06	0.18	0.06	0.12

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Coalition

View of Conservative

Table 34

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Protecting the environment

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	dar		۸۵۵								ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Matha	dalamı
			Ger	idei		Age		-		Region						Chan-		Chan-	ivietno	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		73 36%	31 27%	42 47%	8 27%	11 23%	53 43%	24 43%	17 37%	20 34%	11 34%	1 9%	19 30%	44 42%	10 29%	17 40%	46 35%	10 32%	59 39%	14 27%
A very high priority	(5)	14 7%	6 5%	8 9%	2 7%	2 4%	10 8%	2 4%	7 15%	4 7%	1 3%	-	4 6%	8 8%	2 6%	2 5%	10 8%	2 6%	10 7%	4 8%
A high priority	(4)	59 29%	25 22%	34 38%	6 20%	9 19%	43 35%	22 39%	10 22%	16 28%	10 31%	1 9%	15 23%	36 35%	8 23%	15 36%	36 28%	8 26%	49 32%	10 20%
A medium priority	(3)	93 46%	58 51%	35 39%	13 43%	27 56%	53 43%	23 41%	23 50%	26 45%	15 47%	6 55%	29 45%	46 44%	18 51%	20 48%	58 45%	15 48%	67 44%	26 51%
A quite low priority	(2)	26 13%	17 15%	9 10%	6 20%	6 13%	14 11%	7 13%	3 7%	9 16%	3 9%	4 36%	11 17%	9 9%	6 17%	4 10%	19 15%	3 10%	20 13%	6 12%
A very low priority	(1)	9 4%	6 5%	3 3%	3 10%	4 8%	2 2%	2 4%	3 7%	2 3%	2 6%	-	4 6%	4 4%	1 3%	1 2%	5 4%	3 10%	4 3%	5 10%
NET: Low priority		35 17%	23 20%	12 13%	9 30%	10 21%	16 13%	9 16%	6 13%	11 19%	5 16%	4 36%	15 23%	13 13%	7 20%	5 12%	24 18%	6 19%	24 16%	11 22%
Don't know		2 1%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 3%	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	-
Mean		3.21	3.07	3.39	2.93	2.98	3.37	3.27	3.33	3.19	3.16	2.73	3.06	3.34	3.11	3.31	3.21	3.10	3.27	3.04
Standard error		0.06	80.0	0.10	0.19	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.16	0.19	0.12	0.09	0.15	0.13	0.08	0.18	0.07	0.14

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 35

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Dealing with crime

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Gen	der	Age					Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		162 80%	91 80%	71 80%	23 77%	41 85%	97 78%	42 75%	39 85%	49 84%	23 72%	9 82%	47 73%	88 85%	27 77%	35 83%	102 78%	25 81%	123 81%	39 76%
A very high priority	(5)	51 25%	29 25%	22 25%	7 23%	11 23%	33 27%	12 21%	13 28%	15 26%	9 28%	2 18%	10 16%	30 29%	11 31%	14 33%	25 19%	12 39%	37 24%	14 27%
A high priority	(4)	111 55%	62 54%	49 55%	16 53%	30 63%	64 52%	30 54%	26 57%	34 59%	14 44%	7 64%	37 58%	58 56%	16 46%	21 50%	77 59%	13 42%	86 57%	25 49%
A medium priority	(3)	35 17%	21 18%	14 16%	7 23%	7 15%	21 17%	12 21%	6 13%	7 12%	8 25%	2 18%	16 25%	15 14%	4 11%	7 17%	24 18%	4 13%	24 16%	11 22%
A quite low priority	(2)	6 3%	2 2%	4 4%	-	-	6 5%	2 4%	1 2%	2 3%	1 3%	-	1 2%	1 1%	4 11%	-	4 3%	2 6%	5 3%	1 2%
A very low priority	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Low priority		6 3%	2 2%	4 4%	-	-	6 5%	2 4%	1 2%	2 3%	1 3%	-	1 2%	1 1%	4 11%	-	4 3%	2 6%	5 3%	1 2%
Mean		4.02	4.04	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	3.93	4.11	4.07	3.97	4.00	3.88	4.13	3.97	4.17	3.95	4.13	4.02	4.02
Standard error		0.05	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.15	0.19	0.08	0.07	0.16	0.11	0.06	0.16	0.06	0.11

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 36

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Standing up for Britain in the EU

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority	155 76%	85 75%	70 79%	22 73%	32 67%	100 81%	39 70%	35 76%	47 81%	26 81%	8 73%	45 70%	84 81%	26 74%	32 76%	100 77%	23 74%	117 77%	38 75%
A very high (5 priority) 83 41%	48 42%	35 39%	11 37%	19 40%	53 43%	24 43%	19 41%	20 34%	16 50%	4 36%	22 34%	42 40%	19 54%	19 45%	48 37%	16 52%	65 43%	18 35%
A high priority (4) 72 35%	37 32%	35 39%	11 37%	13 27%	47 38%	15 27%	16 35%	27 47%	10 31%	4 36%	23 36%	42 40%	7 20%	13 31%	52 40%	7 23%	52 34%	20 39%
A medium priority (3) 42 21%	25 22%	17 19%	8 27%	14 29%	20 16%	16 29%	10 22%	8 14%	5 16%	3 27%	18 28%	18 17%	6 17%	8 19%	29 22%	5 16%	30 20%	12 24%
A quite low (2 priority) 4 2%	2 2%	2 2%	-	1 2%	3 2%	1 2%	1 2%	2 3%	-	-	-	2 2%	2 6%	2 5%	-	2 6%	3 2%	1 2%
A very low priority (1) 2 1%	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
NET: Low priority	6 3%	4 4%	2 2%	-	2 4%	4 3%	1 2%	1 2%	3 5%	1 3%	-	1 2%	2 2%	3 9%	2 5%	1 1%	3 10%	5 3%	1 2%
Mean	4.13	4.11	4.16	4.10	4.00	4.19	4.11	4.15	4.09	4.25	4.09	4.02	4.19	4.17	4.17	4.12	4.13	4.15	4.08
Standard error	0.06	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.12	0.12	0.12	0.17	0.25	0.11	0.08	0.19	0.14	0.07	0.20	0.07	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 37

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a

very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority?

Reforming welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	ative	Metho	dology	
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI	
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51	
NET: High priority		178 88%	100 88%	78 88%	26 87%	43 90%	108 87%	48 86%	39 85%	52 90%	28 88%	11 100%	55 86%	96 92%	27 77%	37 88%	114 88%	27 87%	132 87%	46 90%	
A very high priority	(5)	92 45%	56 49%	36 40%	16 53%	23 48%	52 42%	26 46%	20 43%	26 45%	13 41%	7 64%	27 42%	49 47%	16 46%	26 62%	51 39%	15 48%	61 40%	31 61%	
A high priority	(4)	86 42%	44 39%	42 47%	10 33%	20 42%	56 45%	22 39%	19 41%	26 45%	15 47%	4 36%	28 44%	47 45%	11 31%	11 26%	63 48%	12 39%	71 47%	15 29%	
A medium priority	(3)	21 10%	12 11%	9 10%	4 13%	5 10%	12 10%	6 11%	5 11%	6 10%	4 13%	- -	9 14%	7 7%	5 14%	4 10%	14 11%	3 10%	17 11%	4 8%	
A quite low priority	(2)	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	- -	-	3 2%	2 4%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	-	1 1%	2 6%	1 2%	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	1 2%	
A very low priority	(1)	1	-	1 1%	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	-	- -	1 3%	- -	1 1%	- -	1 1%	- -	
NET: Low priority		4 2%	2 2%	2 2%	-	- -	4 3%	2 4%	2 4%	- -	-	-	-	1 1%	3 9%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	3 2%	1 2%	
Mean		4.31	4.35	4.25	4.40	4.38	4.25	4.29	4.22	4.34	4.28	4.64	4.28	4.38	4.11	4.48	4.25	4.32	4.24	4.49	
Standard error		0.05	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.12	0.15	0.09	0.06	0.18	0.12	0.06	0.14	0.06	0.10	

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 38

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Controlling immigration

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age	Region							ther Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		161 79%	92 81%	69 78%	22 73%	39 81%	99 80%	41 73%	38 83%	46 79%	26 81%	10 91%	44 69%	86 83%	31 89%	33 79%	99 76%	29 94%	118 78%	43 84%
A very high priority	(5)	66 33%	32 28%	34 38%	5 17%	23 48%	38 31%	16 29%	18 39%	16 28%	9 28%	7 64%	15 23%	34 33%	17 49%	13 31%	36 28%	17 55%	46 30%	20 39%
A high priority	(4)	95 47%	60 53%	35 39%	17 57%	16 33%	61 49%	25 45%	20 43%	30 52%	17 53%	3 27%	29 45%	52 50%	14 40%	20 48%	63 48%	12 39%	72 47%	23 45%
A medium priority	(3)	33 16%	17 15%	16 18%	7 23%	5 10%	21 17%	13 23%	4 9%	9 16%	6 19%	1 9%	17 27%	14 13%	2 6%	5 12%	27 21%	1 3%	28 18%	5 10%
A quite low priority	(2)	7 3%	5 4%	2 2%	1 3%	4 8%	2 2%	1 2%	3 7%	3 5%	- -	- -	3 5%	3 3%	1 3%	3 7%	3 2%	1 3%	4 3%	3 6%
A very low priority	(1)	2 1%	- -	2 2%	- -	- -	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	- -	2 1%	- -
NET: Low priority		9 4%	5 4%	4 4%	1 3%	4 8%	4 3%	2 4%	4 9%	3 5%	- -	- -	3 5%	4 4%	2 6%	4 10%	4 3%	1 3%	6 4%	3 6%
Mean		4.06	4.04	4.09	3.87	4.21	4.06	3.96	4.11	4.02	4.09	4.55	3.88	4.11	4.29	3.98	4.00	4.45	4.03	4.18
Standard error		0.06	0.07	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.07	0.12	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.21	0.10	0.08	0.16	0.15	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.12

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 39

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? **Cutting taxes**

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		69 34%	39 34%	30 34%	11 37%	16 33%	41 33%	18 32%	18 39%	18 31%	9 28%	6 55%	21 33%	35 34%	13 37%	16 38%	40 31%	13 42%	50 33%	19 37%
A very high priority	(5)	20 10%	13 11%	7 8%	2 7%	8 17%	10 8%	4 7%	6 13%	3 5%	4 13%	3 27%	6 9%	8 8%	6 17%	6 14%	9 7%	5 16%	12 8%	8 16%
A high priority	(4)	49 24%	26 23%	23 26%	9 30%	8 17%	31 25%	14 25%	12 26%	15 26%	5 16%	3 27%	15 23%	27 26%	7 20%	10 24%	31 24%	8 26%	38 25%	11 22%
A medium priority	(3)	108 53%	60 53%	48 54%	14 47%	24 50%	70 56%	30 54%	22 48%	33 57%	19 59%	4 36%	33 52%	57 55%	18 51%	20 48%	73 56%	15 48%	83 55%	25 49%
A quite low priority	(2)	19 9%	13 11%	6 7%	4 13%	6 13%	9 7%	6 11%	4 9%	6 10%	2 6%	1 9%	8 13%	9 9%	2 6%	6 14%	11 8%	2 6%	12 8%	7 14%
A very low priority	(1)	7 3%	2 2%	5 6%	1 3%	2 4%	4 3%	2 4%	2 4%	1 2%	2 6%	-	2 3%	3 3%	2 6%	- -	6 5%	1 3%	7 5%	-
NET: Low priority		26 13%	15 13%	11 12%	5 17%	8 17%	13 10%	8 14%	6 13%	7 12%	4 13%	1 9%	10 16%	12 12%	4 11%	6 14%	17 13%	3 10%	19 13%	7 14%
Mean		3.28	3.31	3.24	3.23	3.29	3.27	3.21	3.35	3.22	3.22	3.73	3.23	3.27	3.37	3.38	3.20	3.45	3.24	3.39
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.10	0.16	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.17	0.30	0.11	0.08	0.17	0.14	0.08	0.17	0.07	0.13

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Concernative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 40

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Supporting marriage through the tax system

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Gen	dor		٨٥٥		Region					ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Partv	ative	Motho	dology	
			Gen	idei		Age				Region			G0V	t Penoima	ince	Chan-	Party	Chan-	wetho	dology
													Better	Same	Worse	ged	Not	ged		
								South	North		Wales/		than	as	than	for	chan-	for		
								East/	Eng-	Mid-	South	Scot-	expec-	expec-	expec-	the	ged at	the		
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	London	land	lands	West	land	ted	ted	ted	better	all	worse	_CATI_	CAWI
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		82	48	34	10	15	56	27	19	18	14	4	25	39	18	20	46	16	62	20
		40%	42%	38%	33%	31%	45%	48%	41%	31%	44%	36%	39%	38%	51%	48%	35%	52%	41%	39%
A very high	(5)	27	15	12	1	6	19	10	7	1	7	2	6	15	6	7	13	7	18	9
priority		13%	13%	13%	3%	13%	15%	18%	15%	2%	22%	18%	9%	14%	17%	17%	10%	23%	12%	18%
A high priority	(4)	55	33	22	9	9	37	17	12	17	7	2	19	24	12	13	33	9	44	11
		27%	29%	25%	30%	19%	30%	30%	26%	29%	22%	18%	30%	23%	34%	31%	25%	29%	29%	22%
A medium priority	(3)	83	47	36	10	17	56	20	19	26	14	4	24	47	12	16	58	9	69	14
		41%	41%	40%	33%	35%	45%	36%	41%	45%	44%	36%	38%	45%	34%	38%	45%	29%	45%	27%
A quite low	(2)	24	11	13	8	10	6	5	6	7	3	3	11	11	2	4	17	3	13	11
priority		12%	10%	15%	27%	21%	5%	9%	13%	12%	9%	27%	17%	11%	6%	10%	13%	10%	9%	22%
A very low priority	(1)	12	8	4	2	6	4	4	2	5	1	-	4	5	3	2	7	3	6	6
		6%	7%	4%	7%	13%	3%	7%	4%	9%	3%	-	6%	5%	9%	5%	5%	10%	4%	12%
NET: Low priority		36	19	17	10	16	10	9	8	12	4	3	15	16	5	6	24	6	19	17
		18%	17%	19%	33%	33%	8%	16%	17%	21%	13%	27%	23%	15%	14%	14%	18%	19%	13%	33%
Don't know		2	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	2	-	2	-
		1%	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
Mean		3.30	3.32	3.29	2.97	2.98	3.50	3.43	3.35	3.04	3.50	3.27	3.19	3.32	3.46	3.45	3.22	3.45	3.37	3.12
Standard error		0.07	0.10	0.11	0.18	0.17	0.08	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.19	0.33	0.13	0.10	0.19	0.16	0.09	0.22	0.08	0.18

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 41

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Cutting the size of the state

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conser\ Party		Metho	dology
		Total	<u>Male</u>	Female	18-54	55-64	<u>65+</u>	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	<u>CATI</u>	<u>CAWI</u>
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		100 49%	66 58%	34 38%	21 70%	25 52%	54 44%	34 61%	21 46%	26 45%	16 50%	3 27%	38 59%	50 48%	12 34%	30 71%	58 45%	12 39%	66 43%	34 67%
A very high priority	(5)	32 16%	19 17%	13 15%	5 17%	8 17%	19 15%	9 16%	7 15%	6 10%	8 25%	2 18%	13 20%	15 14%	4 11%	7 17%	19 15%	6 19%	19 13%	13 25%
A high priority	(4)	68 33%	47 41%	21 24%	16 53%	17 35%	35 28%	25 45%	14 30%	20 34%	8 25%	1 9%	25 39%	35 34%	8 23%	23 55%	39 30%	6 19%	47 31%	21 41%
A medium priority	(3)	59 29%	27 24%	32 36%	3 10%	12 25%	43 35%	13 23%	14 30%	18 31%	9 28%	5 45%	14 22%	35 34%	10 29%	7 17%	43 33%	9 29%	51 34%	8 16%
A quite low priority	(2)	22 11%	15 13%	7 8%	5 17%	8 17%	9 7%	5 9%	6 13%	5 9%	3 9%	3 27%	9 14%	7 7%	6 17%	2 5%	15 12%	5 16%	14 9%	8 16%
A very low priority	(1)	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	- -	2 4%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 3%	-	- -	- -	1 1%	2 6%	1 2%	2 2%	-	3 2%	- -
NET: Low priority		25 12%	17 15%	8 9%	5 17%	10 21%	10 8%	5 9%	7 15%	7 12%	3 9%	3 27%	9 14%	8 8%	8 23%	3 7%	17 13%	5 16%	17 11%	8 16%
Don't know		19 9%	4 4%	15 17%	1 3%	1 2%	17 14%	4 7%	4 9%	7 12%	4 13%	-	3 5%	11 11%	5 14%	2 5%	12 9%	5 16%	18 12%	1 2%
Mean		3.57	3.60	3.51	3.72	3.45	3.58	3.73	3.48	3.45	3.75	3.18	3.69	3.60	3.20	3.83	3.49	3.50	3.49	3.78
Standard error		0.07	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.12	0.16	0.14	0.19	0.33	0.12	0.09	0.21	0.14	0.09	0.21	0.08	0.14

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 42

Q.8 Please say whether you regard each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Scrapping unnecessary rules and regulations

Base: All "Sample A" respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
		_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		203	114	89	30	48	124	56	46	58	32	11	64	104	35	42	130	31	152	51
NET: High priority		155 76%	88 77%	67 75%	21 70%	35 73%	99 80%	41 73%	37 80%	46 79%	24 75%	7 64%	48 75%	79 76%	28 80%	34 81%	96 74%	25 81%	118 78%	37 73%
A very high priority	(5)	63 31%	45 39%	18 20%	6 20%	18 38%	39 31%	20 36%	14 30%	15 26%	10 31%	4 36%	23 36%	29 28%	11 31%	19 45%	34 26%	10 32%	41 27%	22 43%
A high priority	(4)	92 45%	43 38%	49 55%	15 50%	17 35%	60 48%	21 38%	23 50%	31 53%	14 44%	3 27%	25 39%	50 48%	17 49%	15 36%	62 48%	15 48%	77 51%	15 29%
A medium priority	(3)	37 18%	20 18%	17 19%	8 27%	9 19%	19 15%	10 18%	7 15%	9 16%	7 22%	4 36%	14 22%	18 17%	5 14%	6 14%	28 22%	3 10%	26 17%	11 22%
A quite low priority	(2)	10 5%	6 5%	4 4%	1 3%	3 6%	6 5%	4 7%	2 4%	3 5%	1 3%	-	1 2%	7 7%	2 6%	2 5%	5 4%	3 10%	7 5%	3 6%
A very low priority	(1)	1	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
NET: Low priority		11 5%	6 5%	5 6%	1 3%	4 8%	6 5%	5 9%	2 4%	3 5%	1 3%	-	2 3%	7 7%	2 6%	2 5%	6 5%	3 10%	8 5%	3 6%
Mean		4.01	4.11	3.89	3.87	4.00	4.06	3.98	4.07	4.00	4.03	4.00	4.06	3.97	4.06	4.21	3.95	4.03	3.99	4.10
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.09	0.14	0.15	0.07	0.13	0.12	0.10	0.15	0.27	0.11	0.08	0.14	0.13	0.07	0.16	0.07	0.13

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 43

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Summary Table

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

								Priorities						
		Getting the economy growing and creating jobs	Cutting the deficit and the debt	Improving the NHS	Improving schools	Prot- ecting the environ- ment	Dealing with crime	Standing up for Britain in the EU	Reforming welfare to stop scroun- gers and cut benefit depend- ency	Cont- rolling immi- gration	Cutting taxes	Supp- orting marriage through the tax system	Cutting the size of the state	Scrapping unnec- essary rules and regu- lations
Base		198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198	198
NET: High priority		168 85%	178 90%	118 60%	113 57%	48 24%	97 49%	112 57%	168 85%	109 55%	49 25%	71 36%	94 47%	122 62%
A very high priority	(5)	76 38%	97 49%	25 13%	31 16%	8 4%	19 10%	37 19%	60 30%	30 15%	4 2%	13 7%	29 15%	22 11%
A high priority	(4)	92 46%	81 41%	93 47%	82 41%	40 20%	78 39%	75 38%	108 55%	79 40%	45 23%	58 29%	65 33%	100 51%
A medium priority	(3)	27 14%	15 8%	71 36%	74 37%	105 53%	89 45%	58 29%	24 12%	70 35%	83 42%	79 40%	65 33%	60 30%
A quite low priority	(2)	2 1%	4 2%	7 4%	7 4%	39 20%	10 5%	21 11%	4 2%	10 5%	48 24%	33 17%	14 7%	11 6%
A very low priority	(1)	1 1%	- -	2 1%	2 1%	6 3%	2 1%	7 4%	1 1%	9 5%	17 9%	9 5%	4 2%	4 2%
NET: Low priority		3 2%	4 2%	9 5%	9 5%	45 23%	12 6%	28 14%	5 3%	19 10%	65 33%	42 21%	18 9%	15 8%
Don't know		- -	1 1%	- -	2 1%	- -	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	1 1%	6 3%	21 11%	1 1%
Mean		4.21	4.38	3.67	3.68	3.03	3.52	3.58	4.13	3.56	2.85	3.17	3.57	3.63
Standard error		0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.06

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 44

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Getting the economy growing and creating jobs

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder	Age					Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conser\ Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u> 18-54</u>	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		168 85%	86 89%	82 81%	31 86%	34 85%	103 84%	52 85%	29 88%	51 84%	21 81%	15 88%	63 94%	83 84%	22 69%	42 95%	107 87%	19 61%	118 80%	50 100%
A very high priority	(5)	76 38%	45 46%	31 31%	15 42%	20 50%	41 34%	22 36%	14 42%	28 46%	5 19%	7 41%	30 45%	39 39%	7 22%	20 45%	53 43%	3 10%	46 31%	30 60%
A high priority	(4)	92 46%	41 42%	51 50%	16 44%	14 35%	62 51%	30 49%	15 45%	23 38%	16 62%	8 47%	33 49%	44 44%	15 47%	22 50%	54 44%	16 52%	72 49%	20 40%
A medium priority	(3)	27 14%	11 11%	16 16%	5 14%	5 13%	17 14%	8 13%	2 6%	10 16%	5 19%	2 12%	4 6%	15 15%	8 25%	2 5%	15 12%	10 32%	27 18%	-
A quite low priority	(2)	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	2 6%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	-
NET: Low priority		3 2%	-	3 3%	-	1 3%	2 2%	1 2%	2 6%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	2 6%	-	1 1%	2 6%	3 2%	-
Mean		4.21	4.35	4.08	4.28	4.30	4.16	4.18	4.24	4.30	4.00	4.29	4.39	4.22	3.81	4.41	4.29	3.61	4.08	4.60
Standard error		0.05	0.07	0.08	0.12	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.12	0.17	0.07	0.07	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.15	0.06	0.07

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 45

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Cutting the deficit and the debt

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

		Ge	nder		Age		Region Region						ether Coal t Performa			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
	_Total	_ Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65 +	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	<u>CATI</u>	_CAWI_
Base	198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority	178 909	89 6 92%	89 88%	34 94%	38 95%	106 87%	55 90%	29 88%	57 93%	24 92%	13 76%	63 94%	87 88%	28 88%	39 89%	111 90%	28 90%	129 87%	49 98%
A very high priority	(5) 97 49°	60 62%	37 37%	20 56%	23 58%	54 44%	29 48%	19 58%	34 56%	9 35%	6 35%	39 58%	48 48%	10 31%	24 55%	64 52%	9 29%	59 40%	38 76%
A high priority	(4) 81 419	29 6 30%	52 51%	14 39%	15 38%	52 43%	26 43%	10 30%	23 38%	15 58%	7 41%	24 36%	39 39%	18 56%	15 34%	47 38%	19 61%	70 47%	11 22%
A medium priority	(3) 15 8°	7 % 7%	8 8%	2 6%	1 3%	12 10%	4 7%	2 6%	4 7%	2 8%	3 18%	3 4%	9 9%	3 9%	4 9%	9 7%	2 6%	14 9%	1 2%
A quite low priority	(2) 4	1 % 1%	3 3%	-	1 3%	3 2%	2 3%	2 6%	-	-	-	-	3 3%	1 3%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	4 3%	-
A very low priority	(1) -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NET: Low priority	4 2°	1 % 1%	3 3%	-	1 3%	3 2%	2 3%	2 6%	-	-	-	-	3 3%	1 3%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	4 3%	-
Don't know	1 19	- 6 -	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 6%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
Mean	4.38	4.53	4.23	4.50	4.50	4.30	4.34	4.39	4.49	4.27	4.19	4.55	4.33	4.16	4.41	4.42	4.16	4.25	4.74
Standard error	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.08	0.12	0.19	0.07	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.06	0.12	0.06	0.07

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 46

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Improving the NHS

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age	Region						ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Method	dology	
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		118 60%	56 58%	62 61%	17 47%	24 60%	77 63%	37 61%	23 70%	33 54%	14 54%	11 65%	43 64%	61 62%	14 44%	31 70%	77 63%	10 32%	85 57%	33 66%
A very high priority	(5)	25 13%	13 13%	12 12%	3 8%	6 15%	16 13%	10 16%	4 12%	8 13%	2 8%	1 6%	8 12%	14 14%	3 9%	10 23%	13 11%	2 6%	20 14%	5 10%
A high priority	(4)	93 47%	43 44%	50 50%	14 39%	18 45%	61 50%	27 44%	19 58%	25 41%	12 46%	10 59%	35 52%	47 47%	11 34%	21 48%	64 52%	8 26%	65 44%	28 56%
A medium priority	(3)	71 36%	37 38%	34 34%	16 44%	14 35%	41 34%	21 34%	8 24%	26 43%	10 38%	6 35%	23 34%	33 33%	15 47%	11 25%	44 36%	16 52%	56 38%	15 30%
A quite low priority	(2)	7 4%	4 4%	3 3%	3 8%	1 3%	3 2%	3 5%	1 3%	1 2%	2 8%	-	1 1%	5 5%	1 3%	2 5%	2 2%	3 10%	5 3%	2 4%
A very low priority	(1)	2 1%	-	2 2%	-	1 3%	1 1%	-	1 3%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	2 6%	-	-	2 6%	2 1%	-
NET: Low priority		9 5%	4 4%	5 5%	3 8%	2 5%	4 3%	3 5%	2 6%	2 3%	2 8%	-	1 1%	5 5%	3 9%	2 5%	2 2%	5 16%	7 5%	2 4%
Mean		3.67	3.67	3.66	3.47	3.67	3.72	3.72	3.73	3.62	3.54	3.71	3.75	3.71	3.38	3.89	3.72	3.16	3.65	3.72
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.07	0.10	0.15	0.10	0.15	0.14	0.08	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.06	0.17	0.07	0.10

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Concernative

Table 47

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority?

Improving schools

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali rt Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		113 57%	50 52%	63 62%	17 47%	22 55%	74 61%	41 67%	21 64%	28 46%	13 50%	10 59%	37 55%	63 64%	13 41%	28 64%	75 61%	10 32%	83 56%	30 60%
A very high priority	(5)	31 16%	11 11%	20 20%	4 11%	7 18%	20 16%	13 21%	4 12%	9 15%	4 15%	1 6%	10 15%	17 17%	4 13%	6 14%	21 17%	4 13%	25 17%	6 12%
A high priority	(4)	82 41%	39 40%	43 43%	13 36%	15 38%	54 44%	28 46%	17 52%	19 31%	9 35%	9 53%	27 40%	46 46%	9 28%	22 50%	54 44%	6 19%	58 39%	24 48%
A medium priority	(3)	74 37%	39 40%	35 35%	15 42%	17 43%	42 34%	14 23%	11 33%	32 52%	12 46%	5 29%	28 42%	32 32%	14 44%	13 30%	45 37%	16 52%	58 39%	16 32%
A quite low priority	(2)	7 4%	6 6%	1 1%	4 11%	- -	3 2%	4 7%	- -	1 2%	1 4%	1 6%	2 3%	4 4%	1 3%	3 7%	2 2%	2 6%	3 2%	4 8%
A very low priority	(1)	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	1 3%	1 1%	1 2%	1 3%	-	-	- -	- -	- -	2 6%	-	- -	2 6%	2 1%	- -
NET: Low priority		9 5%	7 7%	2 2%	4 11%	1 3%	4 3%	5 8%	1 3%	1 2%	1 4%	1 6%	2 3%	4 4%	3 9%	3 7%	2 2%	4 13%	5 3%	4 8%
Don't know		2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 6%	-	- -	2 6%	-	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-
Mean		3.68	3.55	3.80	3.47	3.67	3.74	3.80	3.70	3.59	3.62	3.63	3.67	3.77	3.40	3.70	3.77	3.27	3.69	3.64
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.08	0.14	0.14	0.07	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.16	0.18	0.09	0.08	0.18	0.12	0.07	0.19	0.07	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 48

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Protecting the environment

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		48 24%	19 20%	29 29%	6 17%	4 10%	38 31%	17 28%	9 27%	13 21%	4 15%	5 29%	13 19%	31 31%	4 13%	13 30%	32 26%	3 10%	37 25%	11 22%
A very high priority	(5)	8 4%	1 1%	7 7%	1 3%	1 3%	6 5%	3 5%	-	1 2%	1 4%	3 18%	1 1%	6 6%	1 3%	-	7 6%	1 3%	8 5%	-
A high priority	(4)	40 20%	18 19%	22 22%	5 14%	3 8%	32 26%	14 23%	9 27%	12 20%	3 12%	2 12%	12 18%	25 25%	3 9%	13 30%	25 20%	2 6%	29 20%	11 22%
A medium priority	(3)	105 53%	53 55%	52 51%	17 47%	26 65%	62 51%	27 44%	18 55%	38 62%	13 50%	9 53%	37 55%	52 53%	16 50%	25 57%	67 54%	13 42%	81 55%	24 48%
A quite low priority	(2)	39 20%	21 22%	18 18%	13 36%	8 20%	18 15%	14 23%	5 15%	9 15%	8 31%	3 18%	16 24%	13 13%	10 31%	4 9%	22 18%	13 42%	26 18%	13 26%
A very low priority	(1)	6 3%	4 4%	2 2%	-	2 5%	4 3%	3 5%	1 3%	1 2%	1 4%	-	1 1%	3 3%	2 6%	2 5%	2 2%	2 6%	4 3%	2 4%
NET: Low priority		45 23%	25 26%	20 20%	13 36%	10 25%	22 18%	17 28%	6 18%	10 16%	9 35%	3 18%	17 25%	16 16%	12 38%	6 14%	24 20%	15 48%	30 20%	15 30%
Mean		3.03	2.91	3.14	2.83	2.83	3.15	3.00	3.06	3.05	2.81	3.29	2.94	3.18	2.72	3.11	3.11	2.58	3.07	2.88
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.09	0.13	0.12	0.08	0.12	0.13	0.09	0.17	0.24	0.09	0.09	0.15	0.11	0.07	0.15	0.07	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Coalition

View of Conservative

Table 49

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Dealing with crime

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ther Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology	
			Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI	
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50	
NET: High priority		97 49%	46 47%	51 50%	15 42%	14 35%	68 56%	31 51%	16 48%	28 46%	10 38%	12 71%	29 43%	57 58%	11 34%	27 61%	66 54%	4 13%	74 50%	23 46%	
A very high priority	(5)	19 10%	3 3%	16 16%	- -	2 5%	17 14%	7 11%	1 3%	4 7%	3 12%	4 24%	3 4%	15 15%	1 3%	5 11%	14 11%	- -	16 11%	3 6%	
A high priority	(4)	78 39%	43 44%	35 35%	15 42%	12 30%	51 42%	24 39%	15 45%	24 39%	7 27%	8 47%	26 39%	42 42%	10 31%	22 50%	52 42%	4 13%	58 39%	20 40%	
A medium priority	(3)	89 45%	43 44%	46 46%	18 50%	24 60%	47 39%	26 43%	14 42%	29 48%	15 58%	5 29%	34 51%	37 37%	18 56%	15 34%	50 41%	24 77%	67 45%	22 44%	
A quite low priority	(2)	10 5%	7 7%	3 3%	3 8%	2 5%	5 4%	4 7%	2 6%	4 7%	-	- -	4 6%	4 4%	2 6%	2 5%	6 5%	2 6%	5 3%	5 10%	
A very low priority	(1)	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	- -	1 3%	- -	1 4%	- -	- -	1 1%	1 3%	- -	1 1%	1 3%	2 1%	-	
NET: Low priority		12 6%	8 8%	4 4%	3 8%	2 5%	7 6%	4 7%	3 9%	4 7%	1 4%	- -	4 6%	5 5%	3 9%	2 5%	7 6%	3 10%	7 5%	5 10%	
Mean		3.52	3.41	3.61	3.33	3.35	3.62	3.56	3.39	3.46	3.42	3.94	3.42	3.67	3.25	3.68	3.59	3.00	3.55	3.42	
Standard error		0.06	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.14	0.09	0.17	0.18	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.11	0.07	0.10	0.06	0.11	

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 50 Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority,

a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Standing up for Britain in the EU

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Gen	ıder		Age				Region				ther Coali		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Method	dology
			Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		112 57%	55 57%	57 56%	16 44%	26 65%	70 57%	34 56%	21 64%	29 48%	17 65%	11 65%	39 58%	59 60%	14 44%	31 70%	74 60%	7 23%	87 59%	25 50%
A very high priority	(5)	37 19%	18 19%	19 19%	4 11%	7 18%	26 21%	11 18%	6 18%	9 15%	5 19%	6 35%	12 18%	16 16%	9 28%	8 18%	26 21%	3 10%	31 21%	6 12%
A high priority	(4)	75 38%	37 38%	38 38%	12 33%	19 48%	44 36%	23 38%	15 45%	20 33%	12 46%	5 29%	27 40%	43 43%	5 16%	23 52%	48 39%	4 13%	56 38%	19 38%
A medium priority	(3)	58 29%	30 31%	28 28%	12 33%	8 20%	38 31%	16 26%	8 24%	22 36%	7 27%	5 29%	20 30%	30 30%	8 25%	12 27%	38 31%	8 26%	40 27%	18 36%
A quite low priority	(2)	21 11%	10 10%	11 11%	8 22%	4 10%	9 7%	8 13%	3 9%	7 11%	2 8%	1 6%	7 10%	10 10%	4 13%	1 2%	9 7%	11 35%	14 9%	7 14%
A very low priority	(1)	7 4%	2 2%	5 5%	- -	2 5%	5 4%	3 5%	1 3%	3 5%	- -	- -	1 1%	-	6 19%	- -	2 2%	5 16%	7 5%	-
NET: Low priority		28 14%	12 12%	16 16%	8 22%	6 15%	14 11%	11 18%	4 12%	10 16%	2 8%	1 6%	8 12%	10 10%	10 31%	1 2%	11 9%	16 52%	21 14%	7 14%
Mean		3.58	3.61	3.54	3.33	3.63	3.63	3.51	3.67	3.41	3.77	3.94	3.63	3.66	3.22	3.86	3.71	2.65	3.61	3.48
Standard error		0.07	0.10	0.11	0.16	0.17	0.09	0.14	0.17	0.13	0.17	0.23	0.12	0.09	0.26	0.11	0.08	0.22	0.09	0.13

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 51

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Reforming welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	_	<u>Total</u>	Male_	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		168 85%	85 88%	83 82%	31 86%	36 90%	101 83%	55 90%	31 94%	46 75%	22 85%	14 82%	60 90%	80 81%	28 88%	40 91%	104 85%	24 77%	120 81%	48 96%
A very high priority	(5)	60 30%	31 32%	29 29%	6 17%	19 48%	35 29%	16 26%	14 42%	17 28%	10 38%	3 18%	22 33%	30 30%	8 25%	14 32%	39 32%	7 23%	42 28%	18 36%
A high priority	(4)	108 55%	54 56%	54 53%	25 69%	17 43%	66 54%	39 64%	17 52%	29 48%	12 46%	11 65%	38 57%	50 51%	20 63%	26 59%	65 53%	17 55%	78 53%	30 60%
A medium priority	(3)	24 12%	10 10%	14 14%	4 11%	4 10%	16 13%	6 10%	1 3%	12 20%	4 15%	1 6%	7 10%	15 15%	2 6%	3 7%	17 14%	4 13%	22 15%	2 4%
A quite low priority	(2)	4 2%	1 1%	3 3%	1 3%	-	3 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	2 12%	-	3 3%	1 3%	1 2%	1 1%	2 6%	4 3%	-
A very low priority	(1)	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	-	-	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%	-
NET: Low priority		5 3%	1 1%	4 4%	1 3%	-	4 3%	-	1 3%	2 3%	-	2 12%	-	3 3%	2 6%	1 2%	1 1%	3 10%	5 3%	-
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-
Mean		4.13	4.20	4.06	4.00	4.38	4.08	4.16	4.30	4.02	4.23	3.88	4.22	4.09	4.03	4.20	4.16	3.87	4.06	4.32
Standard error		0.05	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.14	0.10	0.14	0.21	0.08	0.08	0.15	0.10	0.06	0.17	0.06	0.08

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 **CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011**

Table 52

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority?

Controlling immigration

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
		Total	Male	Female	<u>18-54</u>	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		109 55%	50 52%	59 58%	16 44%	21 53%	72 59%	31 51%	21 64%	30 49%	14 54%	13 76%	37 55%	59 60%	13 41%	27 61%	73 59%	9 29%	79 53%	30 60%
A very high priority	(5)	30 15%	16 16%	14 14%	2 6%	6 15%	22 18%	10 16%	6 18%	7 11%	4 15%	3 18%	7 10%	19 19%	4 13%	12 27%	16 13%	2 6%	23 16%	7 14%
A high priority	(4)	79 40%	34 35%	45 45%	14 39%	15 38%	50 41%	21 34%	15 45%	23 38%	10 38%	10 59%	30 45%	40 40%	9 28%	15 34%	57 46%	7 23%	56 38%	23 46%
A medium priority	(3)	70 35%	39 40%	31 31%	17 47%	14 35%	39 32%	24 39%	10 30%	24 39%	10 38%	2 12%	25 37%	33 33%	12 38%	16 36%	41 33%	13 42%	51 34%	19 38%
A quite low priority	(2)	10 5%	6 6%	4 4%	3 8%	3 8%	4 3%	2 3%	1 3%	5 8%	2 8%	-	4 6%	2 2%	4 13%	1 2%	3 2%	6 19%	9 6%	1 2%
A very low priority	(1)	9 5%	2 2%	7 7%	-	2 5%	7 6%	4 7%	1 3%	2 3%	-	2 12%	1 1%	5 5%	3 9%	-	6 5%	3 10%	9 6%	-
NET: Low priority		19 10%	8 8%	11 11%	3 8%	5 13%	11 9%	6 10%	2 6%	7 11%	2 8%	2 12%	5 7%	7 7%	7 22%	1 2%	9 7%	9 29%	18 12%	1 2%
Mean		3.56	3.58	3.54	3.42	3.50	3.62	3.51	3.73	3.46	3.62	3.71	3.57	3.67	3.22	3.86	3.60	2.97	3.51	3.72
Standard error		0.07	0.09	0.10	0.12	0.16	0.09	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.17	0.28	0.10	0.10	0.20	0.13	0.08	0.19	0.08	0.10

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Concernative

Absolutes/col percents

Table 53

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? **Cutting taxes**

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		49 25%	21 22%	28 28%	4 11%	18 45%	27 22%	14 23%	10 30%	13 21%	8 31%	4 24%	13 19%	28 28%	8 25%	10 23%	30 24%	9 29%	40 27%	9 18%
A very high priority	(5)	4 2%	-	4 4%	- -	1 3%	3 2%	1 2%	- -	1 2%	- -	2 12%	2 3%	- -	2 6%	- -	3 2%	1 3%	4 3%	- -
A high priority	(4)	45 23%	21 22%	24 24%	4 11%	17 43%	24 20%	13 21%	10 30%	12 20%	8 31%	2 12%	11 16%	28 28%	6 19%	10 23%	27 22%	8 26%	36 24%	9 18%
A medium priority	(3)	83 42%	37 38%	46 46%	13 36%	11 28%	59 48%	23 38%	15 45%	23 38%	13 50%	9 53%	28 42%	45 45%	10 31%	21 48%	53 43%	9 29%	66 45%	17 34%
A quite low priority	(2)	48 24%	31 32%	17 17%	13 36%	8 20%	27 22%	15 25%	7 21%	20 33%	3 12%	3 18%	16 24%	20 20%	12 38%	9 20%	31 25%	8 26%	29 20%	19 38%
A very low priority	(1)	17 9%	8 8%	9 9%	6 17%	3 8%	8 7%	8 13%	1 3%	5 8%	2 8%	1 6%	10 15%	5 5%	2 6%	4 9%	8 7%	5 16%	12 8%	5 10%
NET: Low priority		65 33%	39 40%	26 26%	19 53%	11 28%	35 29%	23 38%	8 24%	25 41%	5 19%	4 24%	26 39%	25 25%	14 44%	13 30%	39 32%	13 42%	41 28%	24 48%
Don't know		1 1%	-	1 1%	- -	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	-	1 1%	- -	1 1%	-
Mean		2.85	2.73	2.97	2.42	3.13	2.89	2.73	3.03	2.74	3.04	3.06	2.69	2.98	2.81	2.84	2.89	2.74	2.94	2.60
Standard error		0.07	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.16	0.08	0.13	0.14	0.12	0.17	0.25	0.12	0.08	0.18	0.13	0.08	0.20	0.08	0.13

Conservative Party Members Poll

CATI Fieldwork Dates:- 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 54

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Supporting marriage through the tax system

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View Chan-	of Conser Party	vative Chan-	Metho	dology
	_	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	<u>65+</u>	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		71 36%	33 34%	38 38%	7 19%	12 30%	52 43%	19 31%	15 45%	23 38%	8 31%	6 35%	26 39%	34 34%	11 34%	20 45%	46 37%	5 16%	56 38%	15 30%
A very high priority	(5)	13 7%	3 3%	10 10%	1 3%	1 3%	11 9%	3 5%	3 9%	- -	5 19%	2 12%	4 6%	6 6%	3 9%	5 11%	7 6%	1 3%	11 7%	2 4%
A high priority	(4)	58 29%	30 31%	28 28%	6 17%	11 28%	41 34%	16 26%	12 36%	23 38%	3 12%	4 24%	22 33%	28 28%	8 25%	15 34%	39 32%	4 13%	45 30%	13 26%
A medium priority	(3)	79 40%	39 40%	40 40%	20 56%	17 43%	42 34%	22 36%	14 42%	23 38%	11 42%	9 53%	25 37%	40 40%	14 44%	13 30%	52 42%	14 45%	60 41%	19 38%
A quite low priority	(2)	33 17%	20 21%	13 13%	4 11%	9 23%	20 16%	14 23%	1 3%	12 20%	6 23%	- -	12 18%	18 18%	3 9%	8 18%	19 15%	6 19%	20 14%	13 26%
A very low priority	(1)	9 5%	4 4%	5 5%	5 14%	1 3%	3 2%	5 8%	2 6%	1 2%	1 4%	- -	4 6%	3 3%	2 6%	2 5%	2 2%	5 16%	6 4%	3 6%
NET: Low priority		42 21%	24 25%	18 18%	9 25%	10 25%	23 19%	19 31%	3 9%	13 21%	7 27%	-	16 24%	21 21%	5 16%	10 23%	21 17%	11 35%	26 18%	16 32%
Don't know		6 3%	1 1%	5 5%	-	1 3%	5 4%	1 2%	1 3%	2 3%	-	2 12%	-	4 4%	2 6%	1 2%	4 3%	1 3%	6 4%	-
Mean		3.17	3.08	3.26	2.83	3.05	3.32	2.97	3.41	3.15	3.19	3.53	3.15	3.17	3.23	3.30	3.25	2.67	3.25	2.96
Standard error		0.07	0.09	0.10	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.13	0.17	0.10	0.22	0.19	0.12	0.09	0.18	0.16	0.08	0.19	0.08	0.14

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

Table 55

Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority, a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Cutting the size of the state

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	dor		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Motho	dology
			Gei	idei		Age				Region			Better	Same	Worse	Chan- ged	Not	Chan- ged	ivietrio	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	than expec- ted	as expec- ted	than expec- ted	for the <u>better</u>	chan- ged at all	for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		94 47%	61 63%	33 33%	23 64%	16 40%	55 45%	26 43%	20 61%	31 51%	10 38%	7 41%	40 60%	39 39%	15 47%	21 48%	62 50%	11 35%	57 39%	37 74%
A very high priority	(5)	29 15%	19 20%	10 10%	5 14%	7 18%	17 14%	9 15%	6 18%	10 16%	- -	4 24%	11 16%	15 15%	3 9%	10 23%	18 15%	1 3%	16 11%	13 26%
A high priority	(4)	65 33%	42 43%	23 23%	18 50%	9 23%	38 31%	17 28%	14 42%	21 34%	10 38%	3 18%	29 43%	24 24%	12 38%	11 25%	44 36%	10 32%	41 28%	24 48%
A medium priority	(3)	65 33%	25 26%	40 40%	7 19%	14 35%	44 36%	26 43%	8 24%	14 23%	10 38%	7 41%	15 22%	41 41%	9 28%	15 34%	40 33%	10 32%	57 39%	8 16%
A quite low priority	(2)	14 7%	5 5%	9 9%	3 8%	5 13%	6 5%	3 5%	3 9%	5 8%	3 12%	-	7 10%	6 6%	1 3%	3 7%	6 5%	5 16%	12 8%	2 4%
A very low priority	(1)	4 2%	2 2%	2 2%	2 6%	-	2 2%	-	1 3%	2 3%	-	1 6%	1 1%	2 2%	1 3%	1 2%	2 2%	1 3%	3 2%	1 2%
NET: Low priority		18 9%	7 7%	11 11%	5 14%	5 13%	8 7%	3 5%	4 12%	7 11%	3 12%	1 6%	8 12%	8 8%	2 6%	4 9%	8 7%	6 19%	15 10%	3 6%
Don't know		21 11%	4 4%	17 17%	1 3%	5 13%	15 12%	6 10%	1 3%	9 15%	3 12%	2 12%	4 6%	11 11%	6 19%	4 9%	13 11%	4 13%	19 13%	2 4%
Mean		3.57	3.76	3.36	3.60	3.51	3.58	3.58	3.66	3.62	3.30	3.60	3.67	3.50	3.58	3.65	3.64	3.19	3.43	3.96
Standard error		0.07	0.09	0.10	0.17	0.17	0.09	0.11	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.29	0.12	0.10	0.18	0.16	0.08	0.18	0.08	0.13

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 56 Q.9 Please say whether you think the Conservative Party today regards each of the following as a very high priority, a high priority,

Whather Caslition

View of Conservative

a medium priority, a quite low priority, or a very low priority - bearing in mind that governments cannot make everything a high priority? Scrapping unnecessary rules and regulations

Base: All "Sample B" respondents

			Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali rt Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
		Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base		198	97	101	36	40	122	61	33	61	26	17	67	99	32	44	123	31	148	50
NET: High priority		122 62%	66 68%	56 55%	18 50%	24 60%	80 66%	35 57%	24 73%	39 64%	12 46%	12 71%	50 75%	57 58%	15 47%	27 61%	85 69%	10 32%	83 56%	39 78%
A very high priority	(5)	22 11%	15 15%	7 7%	1 3%	6 15%	15 12%	5 8%	5 15%	8 13%	1 4%	3 18%	7 10%	11 11%	4 13%	4 9%	16 13%	2 6%	15 10%	7 14%
A high priority	(4)	100 51%	51 53%	49 49%	17 47%	18 45%	65 53%	30 49%	19 58%	31 51%	11 42%	9 53%	43 64%	46 46%	11 34%	23 52%	69 56%	8 26%	68 46%	32 64%
A medium priority	(3)	60 30%	24 25%	36 36%	15 42%	12 30%	33 27%	21 34%	8 24%	16 26%	12 46%	3 18%	15 22%	32 32%	13 41%	17 39%	32 26%	11 35%	51 34%	9 18%
A quite low priority	(2)	11 6%	6 6%	5 5%	3 8%	3 8%	5 4%	3 5%	1 3%	5 8%	1 4%	1 6%	2 3%	7 7%	2 6%	-	4 3%	7 23%	10 7%	1 2%
A very low priority	(1)	4 2%	1 1%	3 3%	-	1 3%	3 2%	2 3%	- -	1 2%	1 4%	- -	- -	2 2%	2 6%	-	1 1%	3 10%	3 2%	1 2%
NET: Low priority		15 8%	7 7%	8 8%	3 8%	4 10%	8 7%	5 8%	1 3%	6 10%	2 8%	1 6%	2 3%	9 9%	4 13%	-	5 4%	10 32%	13 9%	2 4%
Don't know		1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	- -	- -	-	-	1 6%	- -	1 1%	- -	-	1 1%	- -	1 1%	-
Mean		3.63	3.75	3.52	3.44	3.63	3.69	3.54	3.85	3.66	3.38	3.88	3.82	3.58	3.41	3.70	3.78	2.97	3.56	3.86
Standard error		0.06	0.08	0.08	0.12	0.15	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.20	0.08	0.09	0.18	0.10	0.07	0.19	0.07	0.11

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 57

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different?

Summary Table

							Elements						
	Getting the economy growing and creating jobs	Cutting the deficit and the debt	Improving the NHS	Improving schools	Prot- ecting the environ- ment	Dealing with crime	Standing up for Britain in the EU	Reforming welfare to stop scroun- gers and cut benefit depen- dency	Cont- rolling immi- gration	Cutting taxes	Supp- orting marriage through the tax system	Cutting the size of the state	Scrapping unnec- essary rules and regu- lations
Base	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401	401
Better	237 59%	257 64%	153 38%	176 44%	96 24%	214 53%	281 70%	273 68%	265 66%	190 47%	194 48%	221 55%	246 61%
No different	156 39%	134 33%	230 57%	209 52%	251 63%	175 44%	99 25%	116 29%	120 30%	192 48%	180 45%	137 34%	147 37%
Worse	6 1%	8 2%	13 3%	14 3%	50 12%	8 2%	18 4%	8 2%	12 3%	17 4%	9 2%	13 3%	6 1%
Don't know	2	2	5 1%	2	4 1%	4 1%	3 1%	4 1%	4 1%	2	18 4%	30 7%	2

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 58

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Getting the economy growing and creating jobs

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	237 59%	125 59%	112 59%	33 50%	48 55%	155 63%	66 56%	49 62%	66 55%	39 67%	17 61%	72 55%	128 63%	37 55%	53 62%	152 60%	32 52%	175 58%	62 61%
No different	156 39%	81 38%	75 39%	30 45%	38 43%	88 36%	46 39%	27 34%	53 45%	19 33%	11 39%	57 44%	73 36%	26 39%	31 36%	99 39%	26 42%	122 41%	34 34%
Worse	6 1%	4 2%	2 1%	2 3%	2 2%	2 1%	3 3%	3 4%	-	-	-	1 1%	2 1%	3 4%	1 1%	2 1%	3 5%	2 1%	4 4%
Don't know	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	2 2%	-	- -	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2%	1	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 59

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Cutting the deficit and the debt

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	257 64%	140 66%	117 62%	34 52%	58 66%	165 67%	70 60%	49 62%	78 66%	39 67%	21 75%	76 58%	139 68%	42 63%	58 67%	165 65%	34 55%	190 63%	67 66%
No different	134 33%	65 31%	69 36%	27 41%	28 32%	78 32%	42 36%	26 33%	40 34%	19 33%	7 25%	51 39%	61 30%	22 33%	25 29%	83 33%	26 42%	104 35%	30 30%
Worse	8 2%	5 2%	3 2%	4 6%	2 2%	2 1%	4 3%	4 5%	-	-	-	2 2%	3 1%	3 4%	1 1%	5 2%	2 3%	5 2%	3 3%
Don't know	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	- -	-	2 2%	- -	- -	2 2%	-	-	1	1 1%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 60 Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you

think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Improving the NHS

		Gen	ender		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	/ative	Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	153 38%	79 37%	74 39%	19 29%	30 34%	103 42%	47 40%	33 42%	38 32%	23 40%	12 43%	42 32%	88 43%	23 34%	37 43%	96 38%	20 32%	122 41%	31 31%
No different	230 57%	120 57%	110 58%	42 64%	53 60%	135 55%	65 56%	42 53%	77 65%	32 55%	14 50%	81 62%	111 55%	38 57%	41 48%	153 60%	36 58%	168 56%	62 61%
Worse	13 3%	10 5%	3 2%	3 5%	5 6%	5 2%	4 3%	4 5%	2 2%	2 3%	1 4%	4 3%	3 1%	6 9%	3 3%	4 2%	6 10%	6 2%	7 7%
Don't know	5 1%	2 1%	3 2%	2 3%	-	3 1%	1 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	4 3%	1	- -	5 6%	-	-	4 1%	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 61

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Improving schools

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	<u> 18-54</u>	<u>55-64</u>	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	176 44%	87 41%	89 47%	18 27%	36 41%	121 49%	57 49%	37 47%	43 36%	26 45%	13 46%	48 37%	101 50%	27 40%	39 45%	114 45%	23 37%	144 48%	32 32%
No different	209 52%	112 53%	97 51%	43 65%	46 52%	120 49%	55 47%	39 49%	70 59%	31 53%	14 50%	75 57%	99 49%	35 52%	41 48%	134 53%	34 55%	147 49%	62 61%
Worse	14 3%	11 5%	3 2%	4 6%	6 7%	4 2%	4 3%	3 4%	5 4%	1 2%	1 4%	6 5%	3 1%	5 7%	4 5%	5 2%	5 8%	8 3%	6 6%
Don't know	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	2 2%	-	-	2 2%	-	-	1	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 62

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different?

Protecting the environment

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	96 24%	45 21%	51 27%	13 20%	19 22%	64 26%	28 24%	21 27%	26 22%	16 28%	5 18%	23 18%	62 31%	11 16%	24 28%	64 25%	8 13%	76 25%	20 20%
No different	251 63%	128 61%	123 65%	40 61%	51 58%	159 65%	66 56%	50 63%	76 64%	39 67%	20 71%	84 64%	118 58%	49 73%	48 56%	158 62%	45 73%	189 63%	62 61%
Worse	50 12%	37 18%	13 7%	12 18%	18 20%	20 8%	22 19%	7 9%	16 13%	3 5%	2 7%	22 17%	22 11%	6 9%	11 13%	30 12%	9 15%	32 11%	18 18%
Don't know	4 1%	1	3 2%	1 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 4%	2 2%	1	1 1%	3 3%	1	-	3 1%	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 63

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Dealing with crime

		Ger	Gender		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	/ative	Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	214 53%	109 52%	105 55%	32 48%	44 50%	138 56%	59 50%	42 53%	61 51%	32 55%	20 71%	60 46%	121 60%	33 49%	53 62%	130 51%	31 50%	166 55%	48 48%
No different	175 44%	94 45%	81 43%	29 44%	39 44%	106 43%	53 45%	33 42%	57 48%	24 41%	8 29%	66 50%	80 39%	29 43%	29 34%	119 47%	27 44%	124 41%	51 50%
Worse	8 2%	6 3%	2 1%	3 5%	4 5%	1	3 3%	4 5%	1 1%	-	-	3 2%	1	4 6%	1 1%	3 1%	4 6%	7 2%	1 1%
Don't know	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 3%	1 1%	1	2 2%	-	- -	2 3%	-	2 2%	1	1 1%	3 3%	1	-	3 1%	1 1%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 64 Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you

think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Standing up for Britain in the EU

		Ger	Gender		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	vative	Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	281 70%	151 72%	130 68%	43 65%	66 75%	172 70%	83 71%	56 71%	84 71%	40 69%	18 64%	85 65%	152 75%	44 66%	61 71%	180 71%	40 65%	213 71%	68 67%
No different	99 25%	50 24%	49 26%	19 29%	17 19%	62 25%	27 23%	18 23%	31 26%	16 28%	7 25%	38 29%	44 22%	17 25%	21 24%	60 24%	18 29%	74 25%	25 25%
Worse	18 4%	8 4%	10 5%	3 5%	5 6%	10 4%	6 5%	5 6%	3 3%	1 2%	3 11%	7 5%	7 3%	4 6%	3 3%	12 5%	3 5%	12 4%	6 6%
Don't know	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 2%	- -	1 1%	- -	2 3%	1 1%	1	1 2%	1	2 2%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 65

Absolutes/col percents

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different?

Reforming welfare to stop scroungers and cut benefit dependency

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total_	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	273 68%	142 67%	131 69%	42 64%	60 68%	171 70%	72 62%	56 71%	82 69%	40 69%	23 82%	85 65%	142 70%	46 69%	62 72%	168 66%	43 69%	204 68%	69 68%
No different	116 29%	61 29%	55 29%	22 33%	25 28%	68 28%	40 34%	20 25%	34 29%	18 31%	4 14%	42 32%	56 28%	18 27%	21 24%	77 30%	18 29%	88 29%	28 28%
Worse	8 2%	5 2%	3 2%	1 2%	3 3%	4 2%	4 3%	2 3%	2 2%	-	-	3 2%	3 1%	2 3%	2 2%	5 2%	1 2%	5 2%	3 3%
Don't know	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	1 4%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	3 1%	1 1%

Conservative Party Members Poll
CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011 CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 66

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? **Controlling immigration**

		Gei	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	<u>CAWI</u>
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	265 66%	141 67%	124 65%	40 61%	60 68%	164 67%	71 61%	53 67%	77 65%	41 71%	23 82%	76 58%	143 70%	46 69%	59 69%	167 66%	39 63%	199 66%	66 65%
No different	120 30%	59 28%	61 32%	22 33%	24 27%	74 30%	41 35%	22 28%	38 32%	15 26%	4 14%	49 37%	54 27%	17 25%	23 27%	77 30%	20 32%	92 31%	28 28%
Worse	12 3%	8 4%	4 2%	3 5%	4 5%	5 2%	4 3%	4 5%	2 2%	1 2%	1 4%	5 4%	3 1%	4 6%	3 3%	6 2%	3 5%	6 2%	6 6%
Don't know	4 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 1%	1 1%	-	2 2%	1 2%	-	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 1%	3 1%	-	3 1%	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 67

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? **Cutting taxes**

Base: All respondents

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	190 47%	108 51%	82 43%	35 53%	40 45%	114 46%	58 50%	37 47%	55 46%	29 50%	11 39%	62 47%	101 50%	27 40%	45 52%	122 48%	23 37%	141 47%	49 49%
No different	192 48%	94 45%	98 52%	27 41%	41 47%	124 50%	54 46%	35 44%	61 51%	25 43%	17 61%	63 48%	98 48%	31 46%	38 44%	121 48%	33 53%	146 49%	46 46%
Worse	17 4%	8 4%	9 5%	3 5%	6 7%	8 3%	3 3%	7 9%	3 3%	4 7%	-	5 4%	3 1%	9 13%	1 1%	10 4%	6 10%	12 4%	5 5%
Don't know	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	- -	-	-	1 1%	1	-	2 2%	-	-	1	1 1%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 68

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different?

Supporting marriage through the tax system

		Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	/ative	Metho	dology
	Total_	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	194	113	81	32	38	123	63	39	53	30	9	64	96	34	43	121	30	145	49
	48%	54%	43%	48%	43%	50%	54%	49%	45%	52%	32%	49%	47%	51%	50%	48%	48%	48%	49%
No different	180	84	96	29	42	109	45	36	62	23	14	58	95	27	34	118	28	137	43
	45%	40%	51%	44%	48%	44%	38%	46%	52%	40%	50%	44%	47%	40%	40%	47%	45%	46%	43%
Worse	9	6	3	3	2	4	2	2	1	3	1	2	4	3	2	5	2	6	3
	2%	3%	2%	5%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	5%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
Don't know	18	8	10	2	6	10	7	2	3	2	4	7	8	3	7	9	2	12	6
	4%	4%	5%	3%	7%	4%	6%	3%	3%	3%	14%	5%	4%	4%	8%	4%	3%	4%	6%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 69

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different? Cutting the size of the state

		Gen	Sender		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa			of Conserv Party		Method	dology
	Total	Male	<u>Female</u>	<u> 18-54</u>	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	221 55%	121 57%	100 53%	41 62%	49 56%	130 53%	70 60%	45 57%	61 51%	32 55%	13 46%	75 57%	113 56%	33 49%	50 58%	141 56%	30 48%	163 54%	58 57%
No different	137 34%	71 34%	66 35%	21 32%	33 38%	83 34%	36 31%	28 35%	45 38%	17 29%	11 39%	44 34%	70 34%	23 34%	28 33%	84 33%	25 40%	103 34%	34 34%
Worse	13 3%	10 5%	3 2%	3 5%	2 2%	8 3%	3 3%	3 4%	4 3%	3 5%	-	5 4%	6 3%	2 3%	2 2%	10 4%	1 2%	8 3%	5 5%
Don't know	30 7%	9 4%	21 11%	1 2%	4 5%	25 10%	8 7%	3 4%	9 8%	6 10%	4 14%	7 5%	14 7%	9 13%	6 7%	18 7%	6 10%	26 9%	4 4%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 70

Q.10 If we had a Conservative government with an overall majority instead of a coalition between the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, do you think that each of the following would be handled better, or worse, or no different?

Scrapping unnecessary rules and regulations

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expected	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Better	246 61%	129 61%	117 62%	32 48%	59 67%	154 63%	73 62%	51 65%	69 58%	37 64%	16 57%	78 60%	120 59%	48 72%	56 65%	153 60%	37 60%	189 63%	57 56%
No different	147 37%	77 36%	70 37%	31 47%	28 32%	88 36%	41 35%	27 34%	48 40%	19 33%	12 43%	49 37%	80 39%	18 27%	27 31%	96 38%	24 39%	108 36%	39 39%
Worse	6 1%	4 2%	2 1%	2 3%	1 1%	3 1%	2 2%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	-	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	3 1%	1 2%	2 1%	4 4%
Don't know	2	1	1 1%	1 2%	-	1	1 1%	-	- -	1 2%	-	1 1%	1	-	1 1%	1	-	1	1 1%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 71

Q.11 Thinking about Britain's economy, which of the following is closest to your own view? Base: All respondents

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Although things are difficult now, the right decisions are being made and things will improve significantly in the next three or four years	356 89%	189 90%	167 88%	61 92%	73 83%	221 90%	102 87%	70 89%	106 89%	53 91%	25 89%	125 95%	188 93%	43 64%	84 98%	228 90%	44 71%	262 87%	94 93%
In three or four years' time the economy will be no better, or even worse, than it is now	45 11%	22 10%	23 12%	5 8%	15 17%	25 10%	15 13%	9 11%	13 11%	5 9%	3 11%	6 5%	15 7%	24 36%	2 2%	25 10%	18 29%	38 13%	7 7%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 72

Q.12 Thinking about the government's proposed cuts in public spending, which of the following statements comes closest to your view? Base: All respondents

		Gei	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
The cuts are too deep and are being made too quickly.	56 14%	27 13%	29 15%	9 14%	13 15%	34 14%	14 12%	13 16%	15 13%	9 16%	5 18%	9 7%	19 9%	28 42%	8 9%	26 10%	22 35%	47 16%	9 9%
The cuts are necessary and unavoidable and are the right thing for the economy.	297 74%	154 73%	143 75%	46 70%	62 70%	188 76%	87 74%	59 75%	87 73%	45 78%	19 68%	105 80%	163 80%	29 43%	62 72%	203 80%	32 52%	228 76%	69 68%
The cuts do not go far enough - the government should do more to reduce public spending.	48 12%	30 14%	18 9%	11 17%	13 15%	24 10%	16 14%	7 9%	17 14%	4 7%	4 14%	17 13%	21 10%	10 15%	16 19%	24 9%	8 13%	25 8%	23 23%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 73

Q.13 Since the general election, would you say your view of the Conservative Party has changed for the better, or for the worse, or has it not changed at all?

Base: All respondents

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
My view of the Conservative Party has changed for the better	86 21%	55 26%	31 16%	21 32%	15 17%	50 20%	32 27%	13 16%	25 21%	7 12%	9 32%	46 35%	36 18%	4 6%	86 100%	- -	- -	55 18%	31 31%
My view of the Conservative Party has not changed at all	253 63%	123 58%	130 68%	35 53%	56 64%	161 65%	66 56%	53 67%	79 66%	40 69%	15 54%	79 60%	153 75%	21 31%	-	253 100%	-	192 64%	61 60%
My view of the Conservative Party has changed for the worse	62 15%	33 16%	29 15%	10 15%	17 19%	35 14%	19 16%	13 16%	15 13%	11 19%	4 14%	6 5%	14 7%	42 63%	-	-	62 100%	53 18%	9 9%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 74

Q.14a You said your view of the Conservative Party had changed for the better since the election. Please could you briefly say why this is? Base: All respondents whose view of the Conservative Party has changed for the better since the election

		Ger	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal <u>rt Performa</u>			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	_CATI_	CAWI
Base	86	55	31	21	15	50	32	13	25	7	9	46	36	4	86	-	-	55	31
They are getting on with the job/ they are bringing things under control	29 34%	17 31%	12 39%	9 43%	4 27%	16 32%	12 38%	4 31%	8 32%	2 29%	3 33%	15 33%	12 33%	2 50%	29 34%	-	-	21 38%	8 26%
David Cameron/ The party are making tough/ unpopular decisions and sticking to them	13 15%	9 16%	4 13%	4 19%	2 13%	7 14%	3 9%	3 23%	3 12%	2 29%	2 22%	9 20%	4 11%	-	13 15%	-	-	6 11%	7 23%
They have handled working within the confines of a coalition very well	12 14%	9 16%	3 10%	5 24%	3 20%	4 8%	6 19%	-	5 20%	1 14%	-	10 22%	2 6%	-	12 14%	-	-	7 13%	5 16%
David Cameron/ The party are handling the deficit very well	11 13%	4 7%	7 23%	1 5%	5 33%	5 10%	3 9%	3 23%	2 8%	2 29%	1 11%	4 9%	5 14%	2 50%	11 13%	-	-	10 18%	1 3%
They are clearing up Labour's mess	9 10%	7 13%	2 6%	1 5%	1 7%	7 14%	4 13%	3 23%	1 4%	- -	1 11%	3 7%	4 11%	2 50%	9 10%	- -	- -	6 11%	3 10%
David Cameron is a good leader/ has good control of the party	5 6%	4 7%	1 3%	1 5%	1 7%	3 6%	-	-	3 12%	1 14%	1 11%	3 7%	2 6%	- -	5 6%	-	-	3 5%	2 6%
They are dealing with the benefit system	5 6%	1 2%	4 13%	- -	1 7%	4 8%	2 6%	2 15%	- -	1 14%	-	2 4%	2 6%	1 25%	5 6%	- -	- -	5 9%	-
Their policies are more direct/ fair/ good social conscience	4 5%	2 4%	2 6%	1 5%	1 7%	2 4%	1 3%	-	1 4%	-	2 22%	2 4%	2 6%	- -	4 5%	-	-	3 5%	1 3%
David Cameron is doing a good job/ better than expected	4 5%	3 5%	1 3%	1 5%	1 7%	2 4%	- -	1 8%	3 12%	-	- -	3 7%	1 3%	-	4 5%	-	-	4 7%	-
They are dealing with Immigration issues	4 5%	1 2%	3 10%	1 5%	1 7%	2 4%	1 3%	3 23%	-	-	-	3 7%	1 3%	-	4 5%	-	-	4 7%	-

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 74

Q.14a You said your view of the Conservative Party had changed for the better since the election. Please could you briefly say why this is? Base: All respondents whose view of the Conservative Party has changed for the better since the election

		Gender			Age				Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	86	55	31	21	15	50	32	13	25	7	9	46	36	4	86	-	-	55	31
Gone are the extreme right wing days	3 3%	3 5%	- -	1 5%	1 7%	1 2%	- -	- -	3 12%	- -	-	1 2%	2 6%	- -	3 3%	-	- -	- -	3 10%
Refreshing new ideas/ new outlook	2 2%	2 4%	- -	1 5%	-	1 2%	1 3%	- -	1 4%	- -	-	1 2%	1 3%	- -	2 2%	-	- -	- -	2 6%
Other	18 21%	12 22%	6 19%	4 19%	2 13%	12 24%	7 22%	2 15%	5 20%	1 14%	3 33%	7 15%	10 28%	1 25%	18 21%	-	- -	10 18%	8 26%
Don't know	3 3%	3 5%	- -	2 10%	-	1 2%	1 3%	1 8%	1 4%	- -	-	2 4%	1 3%	-	3 3%	- -	-	1 2%	2 6%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 75

Q.14b You say your view of the Conservative Party has changed for the worse since the election. Please could you briefly say why this is? Base: All respondents whose view of the Conservative Party has changed for the worse since the election

		Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conser Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54				North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	62	33	29	10	17	35	19	13	15	11	4	6	14	42	-	-	62	53	9
Failed to keep promises/ promises in the manifesto have been diluted	16 26%	7 21%	9 31%	4 40%	5 29%	7 20%	6 32%	3 23%	6 40%	1 9%	-	-	6 43%	10 24%	-	-	16 26%	14 26%	2 22%
Not tough enough on Europe/ did not keep promise of referendum over Europe	11 18%	7 21%	4 14%	1 10%	2 12%	8 23%	2 11%	1 8%	5 33%	3 27%	-	1 17%	2 14%	8 19%	-	-	11 18%	11 21%	-
They are letting the Lib Dems have too much of a say	11 18%	6 18%	5 17%	2 20%	4 24%	5 14%	4 21%	1 8%	4 27%	2 18%	-	1 17%	2 14%	8 19%	-	-	11 18%	11 21%	-
Cuts to the armed forces	10 16%	8 24%	2 7%	1 10%	2 12%	7 20%	2 11%	3 23%	2 13%	2 18%	1 25%	1 17%	- -	9 21%	- -	- -	10 16%	10 19%	- -
Disappointed in David Cameron/ weak Prime Minister/ changes his mind too often	5 8%	3 9%	2 7%	1 10%	2 12%	2 6%	-	2 15%	2 13%	-	1 25%	-	1 7%	4 10%	-	-	5 8%	4 8%	1 11%
Too many cuts to quickly	4 6%	3 9%	1 3%	-	2 12%	2 6%	-	1 8%	2 13%	-	1 25%	-	- -	4 10%	- -	-	4 6%	3 6%	1 11%
Too soft on crime/ law & order	4 6%	3 9%	1 3%	-	1 6%	3 9%	1 5%	-	2 13%	1 9%	-	-	1 7%	3 7%	- -	-	4 6%	4 8%	-
Trying to do too much too quickly	4 6%	1 3%	3 10%	-	1 6%	3 9%	1 5%	-	1 7%	1 9%	1 25%	-	2 14%	2 5%	- -	-	4 6%	3 6%	1 11%
Selling off the forests	4 6%	2 6%	2 7%	1 10%	1 6%	2 6%	2 11%	1 8%	1 7%	- -	-	-	2 14%	2 5%	-	-	4 6%	3 6%	1 11%
Too soft on immigration issues	3 5%	2 6%	1 3%	-	2 12%	1 3%	1 5%	-	2 13%	-	-	1 17%	1 7%	1 2%	-	-	3 5%	2 4%	1 11%
They have not been tough enough	2 3%	1 3%	1 3%	1 10%	-	1 3%	2 11%	-	- -	-	-	2 33%	-	- -	- -	-	2 3%	2 4%	-

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 75

Q.14b You say your view of the Conservative Party has changed for the worse since the election. Please could you briefly say why this is? Base: All respondents whose view of the Conservative Party has changed for the worse since the election

		Gen	der		Age				Region				ether Coal /t Performa		View	of Conserv Party	vative	Metho	dology
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	_CAWI_
Base	62	33	29	10	17	35	19	13	15	11	4	6	14	42	-	-	62	53	9
Human rights act	2 3%	2 6%	-	-	1 6%	1 3%	1 5%	-	1 7%	- -	-	-	-	2 5%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
Raising of University fees	2 3%	-	2 7%	-	1 6%	1 3%	-	1 8%	1 7%	- -	-	-	- -	2 5%	- -	-	2 3%	2 4%	- -
Cuts to the health service	1 2%	1 3%	-	-	- -	1 3%	1 5%	-	-	- -	-	-	1 7%	- -	-	- -	1 2%	1 2%	-
Other	27 44%	14 42%	13 45%	4 40%	8 47%	15 43%	8 42%	4 31%	6 40%	7 64%	2 50%	3 50%	5 36%	19 45%	-	- -	27 44%	21 40%	6 67%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 76

Q.15a Some people say they could never see themselves voting Labour under any circumstances, while others say they could see themselves doing so sometime in the future. Which of these is closer to your own view?

		Gen	Gender Age						Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conser Party	vative	Metho	dology	
	_Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI	
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101	
I could never see myself voting Labour	363 91%	191 91%	172 91%	62 94%	78 89%	222 90%	107 91%	71 90%	108 91%	56 97%	21 75%	123 94%	187 92%	53 79%	77 90%	235 93%	51 82%	269 90%	94 93%	
I could see myself voting Labour at some point in the future	38 9%	20 9%	18 9%	4 6%	10 11%	24 10%	10 9%	8 10%	11 9%	2 3%	7 25%	8 6%	16 8%	14 21%	9 10%	18 7%	11 18%	31 10%	7 7%	

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 77

Q.15b Some people say they could never see themselves voting Liberal Democrat under any circumstances, while others say they could see themselves doing so sometime in the future. Which of these is closer to your own view?

		Gen	Gender Age						Region				ether Coal t Performa		View	of Conserver Party	vative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
I could never see myself voting Lib Dem	323 81%	167 79%	156 82%	51 77%	73 83%	198 80%	100 85%	59 75%	100 84%	47 81%	17 61%	98 75%	166 82%	59 88%	63 73%	204 81%	56 90%	247 82%	76 75%
I could see myself voting Lib Dem at some point in the future	78 19%	44 21%	34 18%	15 23%	15 17%	48 20%	17 15%	20 25%	19 16%	11 19%	11 39%	33 25%	37 18%	8 12%	23 27%	49 19%	6 10%	53 18%	25 25%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents

Table 78

Q.15c Some people say they could never see themselves voting for the UK Independence Party under any circumstances, while others say they could see themselves doing so sometime in the future. Which of these is closer to your own view? Base: All respondents

		Ger	der	Age					Region				ether Coal		View	of Conserv	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
I could never see myself voting UKIP	262 65%	124 59%	138 73%	39 59%	57 65%	165 67%	75 64%	49 62%	80 67%	38 66%	20 71%	85 65%	136 67%	41 61%	57 66%	175 69%	30 48%	198 66%	64 63%
I could see myself voting UKIP at some point in the future	139 35%	87 41%	52 27%	27 41%	31 35%	81 33%	42 36%	30 38%	39 33%	20 34%	8 29%	46 35%	67 33%	26 39%	29 34%	78 31%	32 52%	102 34%	37 37%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 79

Q.19 Finally, if at the next election there were a single candidate standing for the Coalition, rather than separate candidates for the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, how do you think you would vote?

If the Coalition candidate were a member of the Conservative Party

Base: All respondents

		Ge	nder		Age				Region				ether Coali t Performa		View	of Conser\ Party	ative	Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Coalition candidate	338 84%	176 83%	162 85%	59 89%	67 76%	212 86%	97 83%	65 82%	99 83%	51 88%	26 93%	121 92%	176 87%	41 61%	82 95%	222 88%	34 55%	241 80%	97 96%
UKIP	14 3%	8 4%	6 3%	1 2%	5 6%	8 3%	6 5%	2 3%	4 3%	2 3%	-	1 1%	5 2%	8 12%	- -	5 2%	9 15%	11 4%	3 3%
Labour	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	-	- -	3 4%	- -	- -	-	- -	- -	3 4%	- -	- -	3 5%	3 1%	- -
Green	1	1	- -	- -	1 1%	-	1 1%	<u>-</u>	- -	- -	-	- -	- -	1 1%	- -	- -	1 2%	1	- -
Other	27 7%	13 6%	14 7%	2 3%	9 10%	16 7%	8 7%	4 5%	9 8%	4 7%	2 7%	5 4%	11 5%	11 16%	3 3%	15 6%	9 15%	26 9%	1 1%
Refused	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	- -	2 2%	2 1%	- -	2 3%	2 2%	- -	- -	1 1%	3 1%	- -	- -	4 2%	- -	4 1%	- -
Don't know	14 3%	9 4%	5 3%	2 3%	3 3%	8 3%	5 4%	3 4%	5 4%	1 2%	-	3 2%	8 4%	3 4%	1 1%	7 3%	6 10%	14 5%	-

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 80

Absolutes/col percents

Q.19 Finally, if at the next election there were a single candidate standing for the Coalition, rather than separate candidates for the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, how do you think you would vote?

If the Coalition candidate were a member of the Conservative Party

Base: All respondents expressing an intention to vote

		Ger	nder		Age South				Region				ether Coal t Performa			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	383	200	183	64	83	236	112	74	112	57	28	127	192	64	85	242	56	282	101
Coalition candidate	338 88%	176 88%	162 89%	59 92%	67 81%	212 90%	97 87%	65 88%	99 88%	51 89%	26 93%	121 95%	176 92%	41 64%	82 96%	222 92%	34 61%	241 85%	97 96%
UKIP	14 4%	8 4%	6 3%	1 2%	5 6%	8 3%	6 5%	2 3%	4 4%	2 4%	-	1 1%	5 3%	8 13%	-	5 2%	9 16%	11 4%	3 3%
Labour	3 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 3%	1 1%	-	-	3 4%	-	-	-	-	-	3 5%	-	-	3 5%	3 1%	-
Green	1	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	1	-
Other	27 7%	13 7%	14 8%	2 3%	9 11%	16 7%	8 7%	4 5%	9 8%	4 7%	2 7%	5 4%	11 6%	11 17%	3 4%	15 6%	9 16%	26 9%	1 1%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 81

Q.19 Finally, if at the next election there were a single candidate standing for the Coalition, rather than separate candidates for the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, how do you think you would vote?

If the Coalition candidate were a member of the Liberal Democrats

Base: All respondents

		Gen	nder		Age				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party		Metho	dology
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	<u>CAWI</u>
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Coalition candidate	199 50%	108 51%	91 48%	36 55%	34 39%	128 52%	56 48%	41 52%	59 50%	25 43%	18 64%	76 58%	108 53%	15 22%	56 65%	132 52%	11 18%	136 45%	63 62%
UKIP	65 16%	40 19%	25 13%	12 18%	18 20%	35 14%	21 18%	12 15%	21 18%	10 17%	1 4%	15 11%	26 13%	24 36%	7 8%	33 13%	25 40%	43 14%	22 22%
Labour	8 2%	6 3%	2 1%	5 8%	3 3%	-	5 4%	3 4%	-	-	-	3 2%	1 *	4 6%	2 2%	2 1%	4 6%	5 2%	3 3%
Green	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	2 2%	1	2 2%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 2%	1	-	-	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%
Other	72 18%	34 16%	38 20%	8 12%	16 18%	48 20%	19 16%	9 11%	20 17%	19 33%	5 18%	23 18%	32 16%	17 25%	18 21%	40 16%	14 23%	60 20%	12 12%
Refused	21 5%	11 5%	10 5%	1 2%	5 6%	15 6%	5 4%	4 5%	9 8%	1 2%	2 7%	3 2%	16 8%	2 3%	2 2%	16 6%	3 5%	21 7%	-
Don't know	33 8%	12 6%	21 11%	4 6%	10 11%	19 8%	9 8%	9 11%	10 8%	3 5%	2 7%	9 7%	19 9%	5 7%	1 1%	27 11%	5 8%	33 11%	-

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Absolutes/col percents Table 82

Q.19 Finally, if at the next election there were a single candidate standing for the Coalition, rather than separate candidates for the Conservatives and the Liberal Democrats, how do you think you would vote?

If the Coalition candidate were a member of the Liberal Democrats

Base: All respondents expressing an intention to vote

		Ger	nder	Age					Region				ether Coal t Performa			of Conserv Party	Methodology		
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expected	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	347	188	159	61	73	212	103	66	100	54	24	119	168	60	83	210	54	246	101
Coalition candidate	199 57%	108 57%	91 57%	36 59%	34 47%	128 60%	56 54%	41 62%	59 59%	25 46%	18 75%	76 64%	108 64%	15 25%	56 67%	132 63%	11 20%	136 55%	63 62%
UKIP	65 19%	40 21%	25 16%	12 20%	18 25%	35 17%	21 20%	12 18%	21 21%	10 19%	1 4%	15 13%	26 15%	24 40%	7 8%	33 16%	25 46%	43 17%	22 22%
Labour	8 2%	6 3%	2 1%	5 8%	3 4%	-	5 5%	3 5%	-	-	-	3 3%	1 1%	4 7%	2 2%	2 1%	4 7%	5 2%	3 3%
Green	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	2 3%	1	2 2%	1 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	-	-	3 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%
Other	72 21%	34 18%	38 24%	8 13%	16 22%	48 23%	19 18%	9 14%	20 20%	19 35%	5 21%	23 19%	32 19%	17 28%	18 22%	40 19%	14 26%	60 24%	12 12%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 83 Age

Base: All respondents

Absolutes/col percents

		Gender		Age 18-54 55-64 65+				Region				ether Coal			of Conserv Party	Methodology			
	Total					65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
18 - 24	8 2%	7 3%	1 1%	8 12%	-	-	3 3%	2 3%	2 2%	-	1 4%	5 4%	2 1%	1 1%	3 3%	3 1%	2 3%	3 1%	5 5%
25 - 34	12 3%	11 5%	1 1%	12 18%	-	-	7 6%	1 1%	3 3%	1 2%	-	6 5%	6 3%	-	5 6%	7 3%	- -	3 1%	9 9%
35 - 44	14 3%	11 5%	3 2%	14 21%	-	-	6 5%	1 1%	5 4%	2 3%	-	9 7%	4 2%	1 1%	6 7%	6 2%	2 3%	10 3%	4 4%
45 - 54	32 8%	17 8%	15 8%	32 48%	- -	-	8 7%	8 10%	9 8%	5 9%	2 7%	13 10%	16 8%	3 4%	7 8%	19 8%	6 10%	19 6%	13 13%
55 - 64	88 22%	48 23%	40 21%	- -	88 100%	-	26 22%	24 30%	26 22%	8 14%	4 14%	32 24%	41 20%	15 22%	15 17%	56 22%	17 27%	64 21%	24 24%
65+	246 61%	116 55%	130 68%	- -	-	246 100%	66 56%	43 54%	74 62%	42 72%	21 75%	65 50%	134 66%	47 70%	50 58%	161 64%	35 56%	200 67%	46 46%
Refused	1	1	- -	- -	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	- -	-	1	- -	1	-

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 84 Gender

Base: All respondents

Absolutes/col percents

		Ger	nder	Age					Region				ether Coal		View	of Conserv Party	Methodology		
	Total	Male	Female	18-54	_55-64_	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Male	211 53%	211 100%	-	46 70%	48 55%	116 47%	66 56%	43 54%	71 60%	22 38%	9 32%	81 62%	93 46%	37 55%	55 64%	123 49%	33 53%	144 48%	67 66%
Female	190 47%	-	190 100%	20 30%	40 45%	130 53%	51 44%	36 46%	48 40%	36 62%	19 68%	50 38%	110 54%	30 45%	31 36%	130 51%	29 47%	156 52%	34 34%

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 85 Region

Base: All respondents

Absolutes/col percents

		Gei	nder	Age					Region				ether Coal		View	of Conser Party	Methodology		
	_Total	Male	Male <u>Female</u>		<u> 18-54 55-64 65+</u>		South East/ London	East/ Eng- Mid-		Wales/ South West	South Scot-		Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
Scotland	28 7%	9 4%	19 10%	3 5%	4 5%	21 9%	- -	- -	-	- -	28 100%	12 9%	13 6%	3 4%	9 10%	15 6%	4 6%	19 6%	9 9%
The North	13 3%	7 3%	6 3%	1 2%	1 1%	11 4%	-	13 16%	- -	- -	-	4 3%	5 2%	4 6%	3 3%	6 2%	4 6%	9 3%	4 4%
The North West	35 9%	19 9%	16 8%	6 9%	13 15%	16 7%	- -	35 44%	- -	- -	- -	8 6%	18 9%	9 13%	4 5%	26 10%	5 8%	24 8%	11 11%
Yorkshire & Humberside	31 8%	17 8%	14 7%	5 8%	10 11%	16 7%	-	31 39%	- -	- -	-	11 8%	13 6%	7 10%	6 7%	21 8%	4 6%	22 7%	9 9%
The East Midlands	34 8%	22 10%	12 6%	6 9%	7 8%	21 9%	-	-	34 29%	- -	-	12 9%	17 8%	5 7%	7 8%	21 8%	6 10%	28 9%	6 6%
The West Midlands	28 7%	19 9%	9 5%	5 8%	5 6%	18 7%	-	-	28 24%	- -	-	8 6%	14 7%	6 9%	7 8%	15 6%	6 10%	22 7%	6 6%
Wales	18 4%	8 4%	10 5%	3 5%	3 3%	12 5%	-	-	- -	18 31%	-	4 3%	9 4%	5 7%	3 3%	11 4%	4 6%	15 5%	3 3%
East Anglia	57 14%	30 14%	27 14%	8 12%	14 16%	35 14%	-	-	57 48%	- -	-	19 15%	31 15%	7 10%	11 13%	43 17%	3 5%	46 15%	11 11%
Greater London	39 10%	28 13%	11 6%	11 17%	10 11%	18 7%	39 33%	-	- -	- -	-	16 12%	18 9%	5 7%	13 15%	16 6%	10 16%	26 9%	13 13%
The South East	78 19%	38 18%	40 21%	13 20%	16 18%	48 20%	78 67%	- -	- -	- -	-	26 20%	41 20%	11 16%	19 22%	50 20%	9 15%	59 20%	19 19%
The South West	40 10%	14 7%	26 14%	5 8%	5 6%	30 12%	-	-	-	40 69%	-	11 8%	24 12%	5 7%	4 5%	29 11%	7 11%	30 10%	10 10%

Conservative Party Members Poll CATI Fieldwork Dates: - 4th-6th March 2011

CAWI Fieldwork Dates:- 7th-9th March 2011

Table 86

Methodology

Base: All respondents

		Ger	der		Age				Region				ether Coali		View	of Conserv Party	Methodology		
	Total	Male_	Female	18-54	55-64	65+	South East/ London	North Eng- land	Mid- lands	Wales/ South West	Scot- land	Better than expec- ted	Same as expec- ted	Worse than expec- ted	Chan- ged for the better	Not chan- ged at all	Chan- ged for the worse	CATI	CAWI
Base	401	211	190	66	88	246	117	79	119	58	28	131	203	67	86	253	62	300	101
CATI	300 75%	144 68%	156 82%	35 53%	64 73%	200 81%	85 73%	55 70%	96 81%	45 78%	19 68%	82 63%	159 78%	59 88%	55 64%	192 76%	53 85%	300 100%	- -
CAWI	101 25%	67 32%	34 18%	31 47%	24 27%	46 19%	32 27%	24 30%	23 19%	13 22%	9 32%	49 37%	44 22%	8 12%	31 36%	61 24%	9 15%	-	101 100%